VOL. XI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-vs-

10-CR-219S

TONAWANDA COKE CORPORATION MARK L. KAMHOLZ,

Defendants.

Proceedings held before the

Honorable William M. Skretny, U.S.

Courthouse, 2 Niagara Circle, Buffalo,

New York on March 13, 2013.

APPEARANCES:

AARON J. MANGO, Assistant United States Attorney, ROCKY PAIGGIONE, Senior Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, Appearing for the United States.

GREGORY F. LINSIN, ESQ.,
JEANNE M. GRASSO, ESQ.,
ARIEL S. GLASNER, ESQ.,
Appearing for Tonawanda Coke Corporation.

RODNEY PERSONIUS, ESQ., Appearing for Mark L. Kamholz.

Also Present: Lauren DiFillipo, Paralegal Sheila Henderson, Paralegal

Michelle L. McLaughlin, RPR, Official Reporter, U.S.D.C. W.D.N.Y. (716)332-3560

Case 1:10-cr-00219-WMS-HKS	Document 326	Filed 10/10/14	Page 2 of 212

		2267
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	THOMAS CORBETT Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	2270
4		
5	DAVID A. DAHL Direct Examination by Mr. Mango	2336
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	2360
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Personius Redirect Examination by Mr. Mango	2369 2393
8	THOMAS CORBETT	
9	Continued Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin Cross-Examination by Mr. Personius	2398 2423
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Piaggione Recross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	2449 2457
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Piaggione	2461
11	JOHN OHAR	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Mango	2463
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	2467
14		
15	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	EVD.
16	B C	2282 2298
10	D	2301
17	GGGG	2304
18	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS	EVD.
19		
20	125.05	2383
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (Jury not present in the courtroom.) 2 THE COURT: Good morning. Please have a 3 seat. 4 MR. LINSIN: Good morning, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Labuzzetta, if you 6 would call the case, please. THE CLERK: Criminal case 10-219S, United 7 8 States of America versus Tonawanda Coke and Mark 9 Kamholz. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, 11 everybody. The attorneys and parties are back, 12 present. 13 Are there any preliminary matters that we need to address before we begin? 14 15 MR. PIAGGIONE: No, your Honor. 16 MR. LINSIN: None, your Honor. 17 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, after Mr. Corbett, 18 I will have two stipulations to read into the 19 record. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. MANGO: And just so the Court's not taken off, one of the stipulations involves at the 22 23 end the parties agree that certain photographs are 24 admissible and can come into evidence. I may ask

you ahead of time to move those into evidence so

that as I read the stipulations, those photographs can come up on the screen.

MR. LINSIN: No objection to that.

THE COURT: That makes sense, if we proceed in that fashion. All right.

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I think our jury is here. So if you would bring them in, please, Chris. And good morning.

COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Good morning.

(Jury seated.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE JURY: Good morning.

THE COURT: You look ready to go today.

Please have a seat. Keeping in mind that today is 3/13/13. Yeah, to me, that means absolutely nothing, but that's going to get us started. It's good to have everybody back. And as you probably recognize, Mr. Thomas Corbett is back on the witness stand. He remains under oath. You know everybody in the well area. They are all here.

And you are here. Roll call waived.

Please keep your minds open. Government has the burden of proof, as you know. You've heard me

mention that time and again. And that's the way we have to proceed. And keep in mind that you will be asked to resolve all of the fact issues in this case because you are, in point of fact, the judges of the facts. And I know you've been very attentive and very engaged, and on behalf of all of us, thank you.

I think everybody is ready to get a start.

So Mr. Linsin, I think you're ready for cross-examination.

MR. LINSIN: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome. Thank you.

T H O M A S C O R B E T T, having previously been duly sworn as a witness, testified further as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Corbett.
- A. Good morning.

Q. I don't believe we've met before. My name is Greg Linsin. I represent Tonawanda Coke Corporation.

You testified yesterday, sir, about your background and experience regarding RCRA inspections for the Department of -- Department of Environmental Conservation, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Let me first, before we begin, identify exactly what office you work with within DEC. What -- what office are you a part of, or were you, I'm sorry, before you retired?
- A. I was part of the -- of the division of solid waste, which also has a subdivision of hazardous waste. I was in that hazardous waste unit.
- Q. So it was the Division of Solid and Hazardous

 Waste and the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operations,
 is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And the 25 years you were with DEC, you were in that bureau, is that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, you testified that you began work with DEC in 1980, correct?
- 18 A. 1980, yes.
- Q. And that was the same year that the RCRA statute was enacted, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, that's when RCRA started.
- Q. All right. And so from 1980 up until 2009, is it accurate that in those 29 years there were a number of amendments, additional regulations, and changes over that time as the law developed? Is

that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it accurate, as a general proposition,
 Mr. Corbett, to say that one of the principle goals
 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act was
 to do what could be done to conserve resources, to
 reuse resources, and where possible, to recycle
 industrial resources so as to minimize the
 generation of waste products?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. And there are quite a number of regulations in -- that have been enacted under RCRA that relate to those recycling and reuse purposes of the statute, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, let's also talk about a couple of general concepts under RCRA. There is, under RCRA, a threshold issue before you determine regulatory status of a particular material.

You have to determine whether it is a solid waste, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And if -- if it is not, as defined by the regulations, a solid waste, then the other regulations concerning solid waste or hazardous

waste don't apply, correct?

Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

- All right. But if you determine that that Q. material is a solid waste, then you have some other tests to evaluate whether that solid waste fits within one of the definitions of a hazardous waste, is that correct?
- That's correct.
- Q. And, again, in general terms, just so we lay some groundwork here, for the purposes of hazardous waste, is it fair to say that there are two general types of hazardous wastes, both characteristic hazardous waste and then listed hazardous waste? Is that a fair summary?
- Α. That's a fair summary.
- And the characteristic hazardous wastes are 17 wastes that are determined to be hazardous because 18 of certain tested components within the wastes, 19 certain chemicals or components that EPA have 20 determined are considered hazardous, correct?
 - Yes, that's correct.
 - And so classification as a characteristic Ο. hazardous waste depends upon laboratory analysis of certain materials, correct?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. All right. But then there is another category you just testified about there being listed hazardous wastes, correct?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

- Q. And there are literally in the regulations dozens, if not hundreds, of particular types of listed materials that are -- that have been determined by EPA to be appropriate to consider as hazardous waste just given their sources, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And one of these -- those lists generally begin with a letter and then there's a number, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And one of those items of listed hazardous wastes are the K-listed wastes, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But there are D-listed wastes and other types
 of listed wastes for a variety of industrial
 settings, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you testified a little bit -- and we'll get to this a little bit later. But you testified yesterday about K087, correct?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And K087, in one of these lists, that is -- in the regulation is specifically identified as decanter tank tar sludge from coke operations, correct?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. So this is a particularized number that relates to the type of tar sludge that was generated in the tar box that you testified to out at the Tonawanda Coke facility, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

- Q. And EPA developed this based upon its study and review of coking operations throughout the country, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. So when we talk about K087, it's listed in one of those lists in the regulations, right?
- 17 A. It is a listed waste, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you testified yesterday that
 initially you began working at -- I believe you
 said TSD facilities, correct?
- A. Treatment, storage, and disposal facilities, yes.
 - Q. Would you like some water by the way?
- 24 A. Probably.
- MR. LINSIN: May we, please --

THE COURT: While we're doing all of that, ladies and gentlemen, keep in mind when we are talking about RCRA and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, that this is what relates to Counts 17 through 19 in the indictment. Those are the RCRA-related counts.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. All right. Would you explain, please, sir, what a TSD or treatment, storage, and disposal facility is?
- A. It's a facility that does just what the words say, they either treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste.
- Q. Okay. Those are, if not the three, at least three of the critical verbs with respect to hazardous wastes, correct? Treatment -- to treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste, correct?
- Q. And -- and the hazardous waste regulations govern how hazardous waste and under what circumstances they can be treated, stored, or disposed of, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.

Correct.

Q. So these TSD facilities where you worked were facilities specifically designed for managing

hazardous wastes, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. But then after, I believe you said six years, you began work as an environmental chemist and started inspecting generation facilities, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And these are facilities that actually create materials that would be -- that fit within one of these hazardous waste definitions as a part of their industrial process, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And I believe you said -- you testified yesterday that you had, between '85 and 2010, conducted over 500 inspections at generator facilities, correct?
 - A. That was my estimate.
 - Q. Okay. Can you give some idea, sir, of what types of facilities, during that time period, what types of operations did you inspect for purposes of RCRA compliance?
 - A. Quite a few of the major chemical manufacturers in Niagara Falls. For instance, smaller manufacturing facilities that did painting operations and degreasing operations as part of their normal course of business. Electroplating

- facilities. Those are a few.
 - Q. A wide range?

- A. Yes, a wide range.
- Q. Would that include both large quantity generators and conditionally exempt small quantity generators?
- A. Yes, it would.
- Q. All right. And when we talked about the term "conditionally exempt small quantity generators" you testified yesterday that there are certain volumetric or weight limitations. Do you recall what those are to qualify as a small quantity generators?
- A. Less than -- less than 200 -- I think it was 220 pounds a month. If you were under that threshold, you would be conditionally exempt from the regulations.
- Q. All right.
- A. And then 220 pounds a month up to 2200 pounds a month would be a small quantity generator. And above that number would be a large quantity generator.
- Q. And even small quantity generators are required to report the types of wastes, the hazardous wastes they generate and to register with EPA and receive

- a generator ID number, correct?
- A. For that middle category, yes. The -- the small quantity generator, somewhere between
- 220 pounds a month up to 2200 pounds per month.
 - Q. All right. And --

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

21

- A. The conditionally exempt does not need an ID number.
- Q. Okay. And just so we can have this, you

 testified that an inspection for large quantity

 generator is a more complicated process involving a

 lot more questions, and presumably -- how often

 were you required to inspect large quantity

 generators for RCRA compliance?
 - A. As I -- I think it's already on the record. I said it was about 10 percent of the inspections I did.
- Q. Oh, no. I'm sorry, I wasn't clear. With regard to that 10 percent, how often did you get out and inspect each of those large quantity generators? Was it on an annual basis?
 - A. No. It was not on an annual basis.
 - Q. Do you recall what the time --
- 23 A. You mean for each individual facility --
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 \blacksquare A. -- that was a large quantity generator?

Q. Yes.

- A. No. No, it wasn't done on an annual basis.
- Q. Do you recall how often you did it?
 - A. Personally?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. At an individual facility?
 - Q. Let's move on from this. How often were small quantity generators required to be inspected by the Bureau of Hazardous Waste operation?
- A. I'm not clear on what you're asking me.
 - Q. All right. I'll try again. I apologize.
- 12 Let's start it this way.
 - Under your protocols as a RCRA inspector, were you required to inspect, or was your office required to inspect, a small quantity generator within a certain number of years?
 - A. No. There was no set period of time between inspections. It was based on personnel that were available, how many inspections were -- had to be done to meet a quota, and then figuring out -- trying to -- trying to spread it out so that you did -- at least returned to a facility on a regular basis.
 - Q. Every several years or such?
 - A. It could be up to five, seven, ten years. It

depends on the facility.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

All right. But there wasn't an annual requirement? There wasn't a five-year requirement?

No, there was no specific requirement.

- You got there as often as you could? 5
 - Okay. Now, you testified yesterday, I believe, Q. that the first time you visited the Tonawanda Coke facility was on September 6th of 2007, is that correct?
 - That's correct. Α.
- 11 Now, before you visited that facility for the 12 first time, did you review your office's regulatory 13 file concerning that facility?
 - Α. Yes, I did.
 - All right. And did you determine, first of all, that the facility had, as early as 1986, reported that it generated K087 waste to your office and received an EPA generator ID number?
 - Α. Yes.
- 20 All right. And did you review the records of a Q. 21 RCRA compliance inspection for this facility 22 conducted by one of the colleagues in your office, 23 that occurred on February 17th, 1989?
- 24 I inspected all the records.
 - 0. All right. May I please have Defendant's

Exhibit B for identification? 1 2 Please take a look at this first page, if you 3 would, Mr. Corbett. Then we'll move on to the 4 second page. Let's move on to the second page, 5 please. Can we capture the entire page? 6 MR. PIAGGIONE: If -- your Honor, if it's 7 helpful, we will stipulate to this being admitted 8 as an exhibit. 9 MR. LINSIN: That would be helpful, your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. B, Mr. Personius? 12 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection. 13 THE COURT: No objection, received. And 14 it may be published. 15 (Defendants' Exhibit B was received into 16 evidence.) 17 MR. LINSIN: All right. Thank you, your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: You're welcome. BY MR. LINSIN: 20 21 Q. Let's start with this page, which is actually the second page of the exhibit. We will come back 22

What does this page reflect from your -- the files of the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operation?

23

24

25

to the cover page.

- A. Looks like this page indicates that the facility is a conditionally exempt small quantity generator. Although it doesn't say that on the page, but the numbers indicate that.
- Q. And you are basing that on the kilograms of waste that is either generated or -- and less than 100 kilograms being stored?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Where is that located on the form? You want to tap it?

THE WITNESS: It's where the check mark is.

- 13 BY MR. LINSIN:
- 14 | Q. First --
- 15 A. I hit the wrong spot there.
- Q. First of all, can we enlarge this portion of the text?

So at the top of the page is the EPA generator ID number we were just referencing, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And this is a letter signed by a Richard Baker, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And do you recall who he was?
- 25 A. Oh, yes. I worked with him for many years.

- Q. And what was his position in the Bureau of Hazardous Waste?
- A. Oh, he was -- he was an engineer in the hazardous waste division assigned to review inspection reports.
- Q. And is it fair to say that this is a letter that Mr. Baker sent after the inspection was concluded, sent to Mr. Kamholz?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And what does -- you were testifying a moment ago about a check mark or an X. Would you locate that on this screen?
- Okay. And it says -- the text actually says small quantity generator. But based on the volumes and weights reported here, you believe that that would be -- qualify as a conditionally exempt small quantity generator, correct?
- A. Right. The forms weren't that explicit back then.
- Q. I understand.
- Let's go on to the next page of the exhibit,

 please.
 - And would you tell, first, generally, is this the first page of the inspection form --
- A. Yes, this is the first page.

- Q. And just so we have a sense, is it -- does it fit with your memory that the entire inspection form -- and we're not going to go through every page, but that entire inspection form is about 24 pages? Does that fit with your memory?
- A. Sounds -- sounds correct.

Q. All right. So tell us -- if we can large the central portion of the form, please.

Tell us what this information reflects or what this form reflects regarding when the inspection occurred, the personnel with whom the inspector interacted.

- A. Well, want me to point these out on the form?
- Q. Yes. To the extent you're making reference to the text, tap the screen as you're talking about it, please.
- 17 A. How many of these items do you want me to comment on?
 - Q. Let's talk about -- who conducted the inspection on behalf of the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operation?
 - A. It's the bottom line here. Raymond Fisher.

 That's report -- I'm sorry. Report prepared by Ray

 Fisher.
 - Q. And the inspector's name for the inspection,

the RCRA compliance inspection is right above that, correct?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And who was the facility contact?
- A. Is handler's signature of -- handler's contact is Mark Kamholz.
 - Q. Okay. All right. And his title reflected two lines down. What was his title at the time?
 - A. Manager, environmental control.
- Q. All right. And on that very next line, the inspection date of February 17th, 1989, correct?
- A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

- Q. And it indicates that the inspection ran from 10:00 a.m. till noon, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. May we have the next page, please?

 Now, we're not going to run through all these,

 but fair to say -- is it fair to say, Mr. Corbett,

 that this is a table of contents of the various

 sections and then appendices that are contained in

 this overall inspection form? Is that correct?
 - A. It -- it shows the -- the possible items that could be included in the inspection report. Not all of them are necessarily in there.
 - Q. All right. Now, I believe we may have this a

1 little bit out of sequence, but let's go to the 2 second page of this, please. 3 Now, is this part 1 of the inspection form? 4 Yes, it is. Α. 5 All right. And if tweak -- again, enlarge the 6 text. 7 MR. PERSONIUS: Pardon me, your Honor. 8 don't mean to be a pain about this. Should we note 9 the number of this page for the record? 10 THE COURT: We should. It was designated 11 I didn't see a Bates number on it. I don't 12 know if it's Bates numbered. Is it? 13 MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, this is Bates 14 numbered. I think for ease of reference, and the 15 record will certainly reflect this -- Ms. 16 Henderson, if you could enlarge it again. If we 17 can highlight the actual page that appears on the 18 document. Yeah. And I believe it is Part I, 19 page 1 as indicated at the bottom center of the 20 page. 21 THE COURT: That's an I. All right. 22 We'll do that. We'll continue to reference it that

way. Thank you, Mr. Personius.

BY MR. LINSIN:

MR. LINSIN: Thank you.

23

24

- Q. All right. Is this the first page of Part I of the inspection form?
- A. Yes, it is.

Q. All right. And in the center of the page -well, first of all, if you could indicate what the
numbered sections under 1(a)(1) indicate. One,
two, three, et cetera. There is a check mark next
to company recognizes that its waste is hazardous
during the inspection.

What does that mean?

- A. That means that the company has determined on its own that it generates hazardous wastes.
- Q. And what does item 2 indicate?
- A. That the company has notified EPA that it generates hazardous waste by getting an ID number and basically declaring what types of wastes it generates.
- Q. And go down to item 5, please. There is some typed text there indicating that the hazardous waste in question is one of these listed hazardous wastes, correct?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And then there's some handwritten wording there. Would you read what that says, please?
 - A. It says "K087 decanter tank tar sludge in

coking operations."

- Q. All right. And so that would be the specific K listed waste that is identified with this facility, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And if we could then go to the preceding page in the exhibit. It is, for the record, I-3 in the document itself. And if we can enlarge all the text and the page number. Thank you.

Now this is a continuation of Part I of the inspection form, is that right? I'm sorry. Part I of the inspection form.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Roman 1. All right. And there is a section here where the inspector is called upon to describe the activities that result in the generation of hazardous waste, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. That's subpart E?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And Mr. Fisher has written this text in. Are you able to read that, sir?
 - A. If I put my glasses on.
 - Q. Would you, please, do so. And just so we can orient ourselves again, this is an inspection that

occurred --

THE COURT: Ms. Henderson, the first part, please.

I'm sorry. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Do you want me to read this?

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Yes, please. If you are able to. If you're not, let me -- let's see if -- we have to go -- we have to go further to the margin, Sheila. Thank you. Enlarge that section.
- A. I think I can read it from here.
- 12 Q. All right.
 - A. It says, "Tonawanda Coke Corporation produces various grades of coke from bituminous coal in gas-fired coke oven batteries. It has (coal roasting ovens.) K087 decanter tank tar sludges are generated when these decanter tanks are cleaned to remove the K087 sludges. No, underlined, K087 waste are shipped off site for disposal." Further goes on to say, "All K087 sludges are mixed with pulverized coal and fed into coke oven battery as new material. Thus, all K087 decanter tank tar sludges are recycled in the coke oven battery process."
 - BY MR. LINSIN:

- That's enough. This is part of the Q. All right. information you had before you first visited this facility, correct?
- That is correct. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- And I hate to impose on you, but I must. could ask you to read subpart F as well.
- "Subpart F. No hazardous waste is stored on site. K087 decanter tank tar sludges are removed from each of the three decanter tanks at a rate of approximately 1 ton per tank per year, varying up to 4 tons of sludge per tank per year. The K087 12 sludge being removed from one decanter tank during tank cleaning procedures is premixed with raw material, pulverized coal, wetted with approximately three to four quarts of used oil per ton of coal/sludge mixture, and fed as new material through the coke oven battery."
 - Okay. And this is, again, to orient ourselves, the inspection that occurred by Mr. Fisher in February of 1989, correct? This is his report?
 - That's correct. Α.
- 22 And lastly, sir, if I could ask you please to Q. 23 read subpart G.
 - This is under the handler notified EPA as a -and it says -- has generator, meaning large

quantity generator. All K087 decanter tank tar sludges are mixed with pulverized coal, new material wetted with approximately three to four tons of used oil and fed into coke oven battery. All K087 sludge is recycled into process."

- Q. Now, if we may go to page Roman I-5, please.
- A. I'm continuing my narrative here?
- Q. I won't impose much more.

And enlarge this portion of the text, please.

Now, do you see this section that discusses exemptions, correct?

- A. Correct. This is -- this is the section on exemptions.
- Q. And under generator exemption, the first box is checked, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. All right. And that box indicates that this is not a regulated handler. Handler is the term used, at least at that time, to identify the facility that was generating the waste, correct? When it uses the word "handler".
- A. Let me read it.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. It's a poorly worded checklist, and it's

changed over the years. So, it is generally talking about exemptions for -- various types of exemptions that exist.

- Q. All right. My particular question,

 Mr. Corbett, at least on this subpart, was, when it
 says not a regulated handler, the term "handler"

 was used to reference the company that was being
 inspected, correct?
- A. Correct.

2.0

- Q. All right. Now, can you just read the text, then, that is -- the handwritten text that is to the left of this exemption box that has been checked?
 - A. It says, "All K087 sludges are recycled into the process."
 - Q. And that would be the explanation as to why this generator, with regard to the K087 waste, was exempt, correct?
 - A. Correct.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question, though, if I may. On the prior page there was a reference to generator and you made a point of saying "large quantity generator."

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. But earlier, when

we were talking about Exhibit B, you referenced language that read "small quantity generator exemption". Why did you characterize on the prior page here that generator as small -- as large quantity generator?

THE WITNESS: Because when you notify EPA that you are a generator, when you make the notification to get an ID number for a facility, you are stating that you're generating more than a threshold quantity.

THE COURT: So this is an upgrade, so to speak, from what was referenced as the small generator initially to now an acknowledgment that we're dealing with a small -- or a large generator capacity?

THE WITNESS: In the initial notification to EPA, when you tell them that you're generating a waste, they don't -- they don't take into consideration whether or not the waste is being recycled under an exemption. They just want to know is it generated. And that's why when you notify as a generator, you -- the only way you can get an ID number is to say I'm generating enough of this waste that I need to notify you.

THE COURT: That makes it a large quantity

generator?

THE WITNESS: That makes it above that large quantity threshold.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. But if we could go back, please, to the second page of the exhibit, which was the letter. Yes.

And there is no page number at the bottom, but it is a letter from Mr. Baker to Mr. Kamholz, that was sent following this February 17th, 1989, inspection, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And it clearly indicates in -- in the checked portion, the middle portion of the letter, that the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operation determined that the facility was actually a conditionally exempt small quantity generator, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, if we could go to the first page of this -- of Defendant's Exhibit B and enlarge the text.

Now, this is an internal memorandum, correct, within the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operations?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. What is the purpose of this internal

memorandum?

- A. Let's see. It's to notify the central office of the compliance status of the facility.
- Q. And is it accurate that this notification confirms that a compliance inspection was performed on February 17th, 1989, by Mr. Ray Fisher, that no violations were noted during the course of that inspection, no violations of the RCRA statute or its regulations were noted, and that a copy of that report that we've just been discussing had been sent to the facility? Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So this is one of the inspection forms that you reviewed prior to your first visit to the Tonawanda Coke facility, correct?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Did you also review -- if we can take this down, please, Ms. Henderson.

Did you also review the results of a compliance inspection by another of your colleagues within the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operations that occurred at the Tonawanda Coke facility on January 7th, 1997?

- A. I stated earlier, I looked at all of them.
- Q. Okay. Does it recall -- do you recall that

that was a similar report to the one we've just reviewed, a 33-page report indicating that a full compliance inspection had been conducted, that the facility generates K087, and it is used as a fuel along with the coal, and that it was operated as a small quantity generator without violations? Is that consistent with your memory?

A. That the K087 is used as a fuel?

- Q. Used with coal as fuel in the ovens.
- A. No. I don't -- I think you're -- you know, the characterization that it's used as fuel is, I think, absurd.
 - Q. What's your recollection of the results of that 1997 inspection?
 - A. The K087 is recycled into the coke oven battery.
 - Q. May we please have Defendant's Exhibit C marked for identification.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, again, if it's helpful, we will stipulate to the admission of this as an exhibit.

THE COURT: Yes, I will move it into evidence.

MR. PERSONIUS: No objection.

THE COURT: No objection, Mr. Personius?

1 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Defense Exhibit C 3 received no objection. 4 (Defendants' Exhibit C was received into 5 evidence.) 6 BY MR. LINSIN: 7 Now, we'll come back to this first page which 8 is a letter from Mr. Baker to Mr. Kamholz. Let's 9 go to the second page of the exhibit, please. 10 we will have a page reference as soon as we get to 11 the lettered sections of the form. 12 This is the cover page for the 1997 inspection, 13 is that correct? Yes, it is. 14 Α. 15 Q. All right. Conducted by Robert Wozniak, is 16 that correct? 17 That's correct. Α. 18 And, again, Mark Kamholz is the environmental 19 manager, right? 20 Α. Yeah. 21 All right. I'd ask that we go to Roman I-5 of 22 this exhibit. Enlarge this portion, please. 23 Under this subpart that talks about hazardous 24 waste generation, first portion talks about

facility's wash stations at the plant, correct, and

- a Safety-Kleen service that carries off those materials on a monthly basis, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Now, subpart B of this section talks about the hazardous waste treatment processes on the facility, correct?
- A. Yes, it talks about K087.
- Q. All right. And would you read, please, what that text states, the handwritten --
- 10 A. It says, "Facility uses K087 tar sludges for fuel to heat coal for the production of coke."
 - Q. That's what this report states, correct?
- 13 A. That's what it states.
 - Q. All right. You understood that to be consistent with what was found in the first report you reviewed that --
 - A. No, no, no. I didn't say that. This is the part where you just talked about it being a fuel.

 I don't believe it's a fuel.
 - Q. Okay. The report says it was used for fuel to heat coal, correct?
- 22 A. That's what it says.
- Q. All right. I'm not asking, Mr. Corbett, to
 agree with that characterization, all right? But
 we can agree that's what the report states?

- A. We can agree on that, yes.
- Q. All right. And is it your understanding, at least, that this report, whether you agree with the characterization of its use as a fuel or not, you understood this report to be confirming that the K087 generated at the Tonawanda Coke facility was being recycled back into the coke ovens?
- A. Yes.

Q. All right. Can we go to the first page of the Defendant's Exhibit C.

Now, is it accurate that this is a confirmation letter, again from Richard Baker to Mr. Kamholz, January 27th, 1997, reporting on the results of the RCRA compliance inspection at the Tonawanda Coke facility on January 7th, 1997?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And is it -- would you read please the second paragraph of the letter?
- A. "As a result of that inspection, we believe that your facility is operating as a small quantity generator of hazardous waste."
- Q. And the next paragraph too, please.
- A. "No violations of the New York State hazardous waste regulations were observed by the inspector on the inspection date referenced above. A copy of

1 the inspection form is enclosed for your records." 2 If we can take this down, please. 3 Now, I know you said you reviewed all the prior inspection reports. 4 5 Yes. 6 Q. There was another prior inspection, RCRA 7 compliance inspection at Tonawanda Coke on 8 February 29th of 2001, correct? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. All right. May I have, please, Defendants' 11 Exhibit D for identification. 12 Do you recognize this page of Defendants' 13 Exhibit D as the first page of that compliance inspection report? 14 A. Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: Do you wish to move this in? 17 MR. LINSIN: I would request that it be 18 moved. 19 MR. PIAGGIONE: No objection. 20 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, Judge. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Letter D, defense 22 exhibit, received. No objection. And may be 23 published. 24 (Defendants' Exhibit D was received into 25 evidence.)

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. Now, based upon your review of this compliance inspection report, did you also conclude that your colleague, Mr. Raymond Fisher, conducted a compliance inspection report at Tonawanda Coke on February 29th, 2001, and found that the facility was continuing to operate as a small quantity generator --

And I'm happy to scroll through the report if you would like, but I'm trying to simply move this along if we can.

- -- and that no violations were identified based
 as a result of this compliance inspection report?
 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. We can take this down, please.

Now, prior to your first inspection at

Tonawanda Coke, did you talk to either Ray Fisher

or Mr. Wozniak, the two inspectors that had

conducted these earlier inspections?

A. Yes.

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Did they confirm for you what -- to you the findings of these reports that we've just reviewed?
- Q. And before your first inspection at Tonawanda Coke on September 6th of 2009, had you previously

conducted an inspection of a coke plant?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Which coke plant and how many times?
- A. Bethlehem Steel coke plant, one time.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, you testified yesterday that when you went to Tonawanda Coke on September 6th, 2007, that you stopped at the guard gate and were met there by Mr. Kamholz, and then continued with Mr. Kamholz to his office, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, is it fair to say, Mr. Corbett, that that
 type of procedure, waiting at a guard shack or in a
 waiting area, and then being met by a facility
 representative and escorted to the location for
 your interviews or your inspection, that that is
 pretty much standard operating procedure for any
 industrial facility?
- 18 A. For a large industrial facility, yes.
 - Q. All right. You're -- it's not going happen in a gas station, correct?
 - A. No.
 - Q. All right. Okay. Now, may we please have Defendant's Exhibit GGGG for identification, please? And if we could enlarge this portion.
- Take a look at this, if you will, Mr. Corbett,

1 and tell us whether you can recognize this as a 2 depiction of the layout of the Tonawanda Coke 3 facility up on River Road. 4 Yes, I recognize it. Α. 5 Q. All right. MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, I would move 6 7 Defendants' Exhibit GGGG into evidence. 8 MR. PIAGGIONE: No objection, your Honor. 9 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Okay. GGGG received, no 11 objection. 12 (Defendants' Exhibit GGGG was received 13 into evidence.) 14 BY MR. LINSIN: 15 All right. Now, can we please, Ms. Henderson, 16 go back and let's enlarge this just a little bit 17 more, a little bit more of the original exhibit. 18 Okay. Thank you. 19 Would you, just for orientation purposes, would 20 you mark -- just tap on the screen where River Road 21 is depicted in this diagram. 22 All right. Is that the location of the 23 entrance to the Tonawanda Coke facility? Actually, 24 right down in that area?

25 A. Um-hum, yes.

- Q. All right. And would you tap on the screen where the guard shack is that you then went to.
- A. Close to that. I think it's the small one.
- Q. Okay. So it's in this general vicinity at the top of this roadway that leads off of River Road, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

Q. All right. Now, so we can orient ourselves, do you recall where the ovens, the coke ovens are located at the facility?

Would you tap the screen there? All right.

And the coal field itself, would you tap the screen?

All right. And while we're here -- we'll come back to this -- but would you also identify where -- you testified yesterday about various tanks that you inspected. Where are those tanks depicted?

- A. Are we talking about my initial inspection?
- Q. Yes, and I'll get back to that in a moment.
- A. I was only in the area of the coke oven battery.
- Q. I understand, sir. I didn't mean to misrepresent that at all. We'll get to where you went with Mr. Kamholz on your first visit.

A. Okay.

Q. While we are here, I just want -- so the jurors could orient themselves to your testimony, where are these Barrett tanks depicted?

Okay. All right. So, your first visit with Mr. Kamholz on September 6th, 2007, where did you and Mr. Kamholz meet and talk about the operation of the facility? Can you locate that on this map?

A. I believe it was in his office. Somewhere in this area.

- Q. All right. And is it accurate -- does it fit with your memory that, in order to get to that office, you need to drive along that roadway?
- A. I can't remember the exact route to get there.
- Q. Okay. All right. How long did you talk to

 Mr. Kamholz during this first compliance inspection
 that you conducted at this facility on
- 18 | September 6, 2007?
 - A. In total you mean or --
 - Q. Yes.
- 21 A. For the entire inspection?
- 22 Q. Right.
 - A. Maybe an hour or two.
 - Q. Okay. And you testified yesterday that you -you understood that K087 -- I'm sorry -- K087 was

being recycled at the facility, is that correct, based on what Mr. Kamholz told you?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And part of the information you had, obviously, when you went out there, was the information you had learned from your review of the file before you went out there, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. What Mr. Kamholz told you was confirming what three prior compliance inspections had reported, correct?
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. All right. And on this particular visit, on September 6th, 2007, you did not actually view the place where the recycling was occurring, but you satisfied yourself that the facility remained in compliance with the RCRA regulations regarding the K087 waste, is that correct?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. I believe that's a pretty compound question.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think it can be broken down a little bit.

Sustained.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. During your inspection on September 6th, 2007,

you did not view the location where the recycling occurred, correct, recycling of the K087 waste?

- A. The physical point where the recycling was occurring?
- Q. Right.

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. But at the end of your inspection, you concluded that you found that the Tonawanda Coke facility was in compliance with the RCRA regulations with regard to its management of K087 waste, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And as a matter of fact, following your RCRA compliance inspection on that date, you completed a 30-page compliance inspection form similar to the ones we've just been reviewing, and you concluded that Tonawanda Coke was operating as a small quantity generator and that it was in compliance with the RCRA regulations regarding its management of K087, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Now, you -- you testified yesterday
 that you conducted a second follow-up inspection at
 the facility in July, I believe July 31st of 2008,
 correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. But at that time you did not focus on this management of the K087 material, is that correct?
- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. So that was your second visit to the plant.

 But then you went back to Tonawanda Coke for your third inspection on June 17th, 2009, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, you testified yesterday, I believe, that, before going back out to Tonawanda Coke on June 17th, 2009, there had been some internal meetings within the Department of Environmental Conservation. Did I hear you correctly?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it accurate that your -- who was Mr. Jim Strickland, by the way?
- A. Jim Strickland was my boss at the time.
- Q. All right. And does it fit with your recollection, Mr. Corbett, that Mr. Strickland had actually received a call from Cheryl Webster from the Division of Air Resources within DEC?
 - A. It may not have been directly. He probably received a call from her boss who was, I believe, Larry Sitzman at the time.
 - Q. And did you come to learn the substance of that

call?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what was it?
- A. It was that Cheryl Webster had been at the facility and was with Mr. Kamholz, and Mr. Kamholz pointed out the exact area at the facility where K087 was being mixed with coal.
 - Q. And did you know where that was before you went to the facility?
- A. No, I did not.
- 11 Q. Well, why -- what had prompted Ms. Webster to call your office?
 - A. Well, Ms. Webster was a hazardous waste inspector prior to working in the Division of Air. And when she -- she heard or, you know, was -- was told how the recycling was occurring, it jogged her as being -- not being done appropriately.
 - Q. All right. But am I understanding you correctly, Mr. Corbett, that when you went out there, or before you went out there on June 17th, 2009, you did not know where this recycling was occurring?
 - A. That is correct. I did not know.
- Q. And who did you -- who -- with whom did you go to the Tonawanda Coke facility on June 17th, 2009?

- A. I went with Lenny Grossman of U.S. EPA and
 Ellen Banner of U.S. EPA.
 - Q. And who decided that this was going to be a joint RCRA compliance inspection?
 - A. It was decided, I think, between my boss Jim Strickland and Phil Flax of U.S. EPA who's Lenny Grossman's boss.
 - Q. Had you ever worked with Mr. Grossman before?
- A. No, I hadn't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

19

20

21

- Q. Is he from Buffalo?
- 11 A. No. He's from New York City.
- 12 Q. And is Ellen Banner, is she from Buffalo?
 - A. No, she's not.
- 14 Q. Where is she from?
- 15 A. I believe New Jersey.
- Q. Did you and Mr. Grossman and Ms. Banner have a meeting before going out to Tonawanda Coke in June of 2009?
 - A. Just shortly before we went out, because they arrived by -- I believe they arrived by plane, and then we had just a short period of time before we went to the facility together.
- Q. Before going out to the facility, do you know if Mr. Grossman and Ms. Banner reviewed the regulatory file that had been maintained on this

facility by the Bureau of Hazardous Waste
Operations?

- A. I believe they had.
- Q. Do you know?
- A. I don't know for sure.
- Q. All right. Did you talk with them about the results of these prior RCRA compliance inspections that had been conducted by colleagues in your office?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. Did you talk with them about the fact that

 12 there had been repeated explanations in these forms

 13 about the recycling process that went on at

 14 Tonawanda Coke?
 - A. No. We talked more about the current information that we had received.
 - Q. Did they know -- did you tell them -- and

 "them" being Mr. Grossman and Ms. Banner. Did you

 tell them that the Tonawanda Coke facility had been

 inspected three times by other colleagues of yours

 and once by yourself and found to be in compliance

 with the RCRA recycling regulations for K087?
 - A. The inspection forms that we create are sent to the EPA, so they have -- they're privy to the same information I'm privy to.

Q. And, Mr. Corbett, I understand that makes very good sense, that your agency and EPA coordinate.

But what I'm trying to talk about now is whether prior to you going to this facility in June of 2009, whether you yourself told Mr. Grossman and Ms. Banner that this facility had been found to be in compliance on at least four separate occasions by yourself and your colleagues.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ PIAGGIONE: It was already asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: No, I did not.

THE COURT: Overruled. I'll permit it.
The answer is?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not discuss those inspection reports with them.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. You understood that a copy -- do you know what offices those two individuals work for or worked for back in 2009, Mr. Grossman and Ms. Banner?
- A. Well, I know that Mr. Grossman worked in the hazardous waste section, probably solid and hazardous waste section of EPA. Ms. Banner, I believe, was a geologist.
- Q. All right.

- A. And I'm not sure which office she worked out of.
 - Q. Now, when the three of you then went to the plant on June 17th, 2009, you had a meeting again with Mr. Kamholz, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

- Q. And would you point to this screen again as to where that preliminary meeting occurred?
- A. Somewhere in one of these buildings. I don't know exactly where his office is.
- Q. All right. Fair enough. And how long did that initial meeting between yourself and Mr. Grossman and Ms. Banner last with Mr. Kamholz?
 - A. It was a long meeting. It was three or four hours.
- Q. It was three or four hours in Mr. Kamholz's office?
- 18 A. Possibly.
- 19 Q. All right.
- 20 A. I remember it being a long time.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. And possibly as long as three or four hours?
- A. I'm just estimating, but I know it was longer than a normal interview.
- 25 Q. All right. And the substance of this

discussion, if I heard your testimony correctly yesterday, was how this facility was -- what waste they were generating and how they were handling them, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And was it at this time that you talked about the recycling process for the K087 waste?
- A. About where it was specifically being recycled?
- Q. Yes.

- A. No, it wasn't. It wasn't until we were on the site where -- where we learned that information.
- Q. Was there a particular reason you -- you didn't inquire or Mr. Grossman or Ms. Banner didn't inquire of Mr. Kamholz where this recycling was occurring?
 - A. Well, there's several ways to find out the information. We would rather put our eyes on the location of where it was happening rather than get a verbal description of it.
- Q. All right. Okay. So you talked about the K087. And I'd like to make a distinction, if we could, so we're clear going forward. The K087 that we have been talking about up to now was the K087 that was generated on site in the by-products area,

correct?

1

2

5

6

7

8

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Can we agree that -- let's call that, if you would, the tar tank K087.
 - A. Let's call it the decanter.
 - Q. The decanter tank?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tar sludge?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Because we're also going to be talking about
 some of this other material that was in the Barrett
 tanks, that, if I heard you correctly yesterday,
 Mr. Kamholz told you he believed was K087 as well,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Let's call that the tank K087, the Barrett tank
 K087. Is that fair?
- 18 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. This initial lengthy meeting with

 Mr. Kamholz, were you talking about the decanter

 tank tar sludge, or were you talking about the
- 22 Barrett tank K087?
- A. We were talking about the decanter tar sludge from the active process.
- 25 Q. From the active process in the by-products

area, is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

18

19

20

21

- Q. And were you -- what did you discuss with him for that length of time about the decanter tank tar sludge?
- A. Well, we -- Mr. Grossman was -- I think -- I believe it was his first time at a coke facility, so he wanted to understand the entire process as well as he could, and the amounts being generated, whereby they were generated. You know, all of the various particulars.
- Q. So --
- A. Kind of like the first inspection that Ray

 Fisher conducted where he got into very -- a lot of

 detail about how -- how materials were recycled.

He wanted to have the same understanding --

- 17 Q. All right.
 - A. -- of the process. So that's why it took such a long time to get all that information. And -- and everyone was taking notes, and so he wanted to really understand it.
- Q. And during that time -- this was kind of like
 Coke Plant 101?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. All right. During that time

- Mr. Kamholz was responding to the questions that
 were being asked of him, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

4

7

8

- Q. Is it -- do I have it accurately, was it
- 5 Mr. Grossman that was leading the conversation from 6 your group?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And were documents requested in that meeting?
- 10 A. I am not sure about that.
- 11 Q. All right.
- A. I think an information request came at some point, but I don't know if it was at that time or later.
- Q. All right. Now, did you keep notes of that meeting, Mr. Corbett?
- A. I did not take any notes. I took mental notes
 which I then wrote down in a memo to my boss after
 the -- after the meeting.
- Q. You wrote a memo to your boss about this meeting?
- A. I believe I did. I had to give him something.

 There had to be something written at some point.
- Q. Have you seen that memorandum recently?
- 25 A. I don't believe so.

Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, I don't believe we have received a copy of this memorandum. Can I inquire through the Court whether or not the government has a copy of this memorandum?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. PIAGGIONE: As far as we know there is no memorandum.

THE WITNESS: It was an inspection report for that date.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- I'm sorry. Is that what you're referencing?
- 13 That's what I'm referencing. Α.
- 14 Q. Okay. Does it fit with your memory that this 15 was a memorandum that contained certain 16
- 17 Yes. Α.
 - All right. And, actually, it wasn't on your standard inspection form, correct?
 - Α. Correct.

photographs?

- All right. And just so we're on track here, so Q. you submitted that to your -- your superior shortly after that -- shortly after the inspection, correct?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. All right. Now, you testified yesterday
 that -- I believe you started out -- after leaving
 this lengthy meeting with Mr. Kamholz, that you
 went to the decanter tank in the by-products area,
 is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Could we please enlarge this portion of the diagram.

Now can you -- if you want to orient yourself, please take your time?

Do you see it?

A. Yes.

Q. Would you just tap the screen where that decanter tank is?

Is that the by-products area, as best you recall?

17 | A. Yes.

vehicle.

- Q. And so do you recall how you got over to the by-products area? Were you driven? Did you walk?
- A. I believe we -- we all went in one vehicle with Mr. Kamholz. I can't remember because there were three. I think we all went together in one
- Q. All right. And do you recall how you drove there?

- A. Which route we took?
- Q. Yeah.

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. How long did you stay in the by-products area?
- A. Quite a while because we took pictures and we -- you know, we looked at the -- we probably spent, I don't know, 15, 20 minutes.
- Q. All right. And your purpose in going over there was to see where this decanter tank tar sludge was being generated, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you ask at that time where that decanter tank tar sludge was being recycled?
 - A. I think -- I think -- I think the questions were more along the line of how much sludge is generated per shift or per week, and how is it actually physically moved from that location.
- Q. Did you -- were you told how it was physically moved?
 - A. Yes. We were told it was put into a front end loader.
- Q. Okay. And did you ask where that front end loader went once it emptied the decanter tank tar box?

- A. Let's see. I think we didn't ask that question until we got over near the coal fields.
- Q. All right. And so after this time, then, in the by-products area, did all of you then travel in a group to a different location at the plant?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

16

20

21

25

- Q. Where was that?
- A. We went out to the Barrett tanks.
- 9 Q. Okay. And would you -- okay. Do you recall how you got there?
- 11 A. Again, with Mr. Kamholz in one vehicle.
- 12 Q. Do you remember the route you took?
 - A. I don't remember exactly which route we took.
- Q. Now, once you got out to this location, did you ask then how the decanter tank tar sludge was
- A. At some point, while we were looking at the

 Barrett tanks and the material in there, we asked

 where the recycling was occurring --
 - Q. All right.

recycled?

- A. -- physically.
- 22 Q. Who asked that question?
- A. It was either myself or Lenny Grossman. I can't remember. I can't remember exactly who.
 - Q. And did Mr. Kamholz tell you?

A. Yes, he did.

- Q. And he told you, in fact, that this recycling of the decanter tank tar sludge was being done on the coal piles, correct?
- A. He pointed to an area and said it was being done in this area on the coal fields. I can point that area out if you would like.
- Q. Do I recall --
- A. I'm trying to -- you know, this is quite a while ago. I'm trying to remember the exact progression. We also -- we also stopped at this -- at this containment pad.
- Q. All right. What I'm trying to recall right now, and I'm referencing my notes, but obviously I'm interested in your testimony. But I thought I heard you testify yesterday that you asked Mark Kamholz where the recycling was occurring and he said that this decanter tank tar sludge was being recycled by placing it on coal in the coal field.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So you knew it was --
- 22 A. Can I point to that area?
- 23 Q. Sure, of course.
 - A. I believe we were out near the pad and he pointed to this area over here.

- Q. All right. And so he told you at that point that the recycling of the decanter tank tar sludge was occurring in that area where the box is, by placing it on coal in the coal fields, correct?
- A. Not in the box. In the coal field.
- Q. Right, okay.
- A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. On coal in the coal fields?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Let's go back -- we'll come back to this in a moment. How long did you take at the Barrett tanks that day?
- 13 A. Quite a while.
 - Q. All right. Can you give us an estimate?
- 15 A. Maybe an hour.
- Q. And what did you talk about at the Barrett tanks?
 - A. We talked about the fact that this material being a listed hazardous waste was open to the environment because the tanks were basically nonexistent. They had been cut down with -- in an effort to recover steel, in the process uncovering waste and leaving it exposed to the environment.
 - Q. Okay. Now, did you talk with Mr. Kamholz about when that material had been placed in the Barrett

tanks?

- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And based on your -- the information you received from Mr. Kamholz on that date, was it your understanding that this material in the tanks and on the ground around the tanks had actually been abandoned by a prior owner of this facility?
- A. No.
- Q. That was not your understanding?
- A. No. It was my understanding that the material inside the tanks, when they were intact, were indeed maybe generated by an earlier tenant of the facility, but not the material that was released outside the tanks. Because that occurred when -- when this dismantling process was attempted and the fire occurred, and then the tanks were left to release material.
 - Q. Who told you that, sir?
 - A. I didn't -- no one had to tell me. I could see it with my eyes.
 - Q. Are you aware of a factual stipulation that has been entered into evidence in this trial regarding the material on the ground around the tanks, these Barrett tanks, at the Tonawanda Coke?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor.

1 The stipulation says that some of the material --2 MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, I haven't ask 3 about substance. 4 MR. PIAGGIONE: He's mischaracterizing the 5 stipulation. 6 THE COURT: We didn't get to that yet. He 7 just referenced a stipulation. I don't know where 8 it's exactly going. 9 I'm going to ask you to reput the question, 10 please. 11 MR. LINSIN: Sure. 12 BY MR. LINSIN: 13 Q. Are you aware, Mr. Corbett, that there has been 14 a factual stipulation entered into evidence in this 15 trial regarding the material on the ground around 16 the Barrett tanks? 17 I'm unaware of it. Α. 18 Q. I'm sorry? 19 I said I'm unaware of that. Α. 20 The prosecutors didn't tell you about that Q. 21 stipulation? 22 Α. No. 23 All right. Q. 24 MR. LINSIN: May I have a moment, your

25

Honor.

1 THE COURT: Should we take 15? 2 MR. LINSIN: Thank you. That would be 3 helpful, yes. 4 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Corbett, you can 6 step down. Thank you. We'll see you at about 7 11:25. 8 (Short recess was taken.) 9 (Jury not present in the courtroom.) 10 THE COURT: All right. I haven't seen Ms. 11 Grasso move that fast in three weeks. Please have 12 a seat. 13 MR. LINSIN: I can assure you, your Honor, 14 Ms. Grasso is quite an athlete. 15 THE COURT: All right. That doesn't 16 surprise me, Mr. Linsin. 17 My understanding is -- and the attorneys and 18 parties are back present for purposes of the 19 record -- that there is a matter that we have to 20 address before the jury's brought back in. 21 MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, during my 22 cross-examination of the witness, he testified that 23 he had completed a report with respect to his 24 June 17th, 2009, inspection at the -- of the

facility. When he testified in that regard, I

glanced at my notebook. I saw a report from that date. If the Court may recall, I asked did it contain pictures, et cetera.

Upon further examination, the report that I was looking at when I asked him those questions was, in fact, an EPA report that had been filed by Mr. Grossman and drafted by Mr. Grossman.

THE COURT: Not the DEC report?

MR. LINSIN: That's correct, your Honor.

I've discussed this with the government during the break. My understanding is that they do not at this point have a report from Mr. Corbett with respect to that inspection or, for that matter, with respect to his subsequent inspection at the facility in September of the same year. So they have dispatched a DEC attorney, as I understand it, to seek -- to review their files and see if we can find these.

THE COURT: Can I interrupt for a second?

I'm sorry to do that. But should we discuss

this -- Mr. Corbett's here and he is not done yet.

Should he step out, or is that not an issue?

MR. LINSIN: I'm not troubled. I did recognize he was in the courtroom, and I'm just saying if there are reports, for obvious reasons,

we would like to be able to review them before we resume our cross-examination of the witness. And I don't know what length of time we are talking about, but we do not have them for these critical inspections. And it's just -- it's surprising because I do believe, you know, the government has been conscientious in producing the 3500 material, but it is -- these are critical inspections for the case, your Honor, and it would be difficult to proceed without first having -- receiving them and having a chance to review them.

THE COURT: Okay. If I can get the government's position on that.

And I'd like to know, Mr. Corbett, are you local? Do you reside in this area, or were you brought in from elsewhere?

THE WITNESS: I'm local.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry. Mr. Piaggione.

MR. PIAGGIONE: We looked in our files.

We talked to Mr. Corbett. He indicates there might be an email and not necessarily a report, something that would memorialize his visit. So we had a DEC attorney in the courtroom and she is going back to look -- review the file itself, see if they can

locate any of these emails, possibly, if they existed, still exist in the files or in the report, if there was one that existed. She indicates it might take an hour, an hour and a half.

THE COURT: Do you have another witness that's available?

MR. MANGO: We do, your Honor.

as Mr. Corbett's testimony is concerned. I'll instruct the jury that, you know, there may be a delay in the completion of the examination, but in the meantime, so we don't lose time, we'll call the government's next witness. And once we are able to proceed, we will resume cross-examination by Mr. Linsin and Mr. Personius, and then wrap up Mr. Corbett's testimony.

Does that work from the government's standpoint?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MANGO: Absolutely.

MR. PIAGGIONE: And we thank you for your patience.

THE COURT: But it's probably -- I don't know if Mr. Corbett should stay in the courtroom.

MR. MANGO: No.

THE COURT: I'd ask that --1 2 MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, I did not hear 3 who the next witness is that the government would 4 call. 5 THE COURT: Nor did I. MR. MANGO: David Dahl, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: David who? 8 MR. MANGO: Dahl, D-a-h-l. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. MANGO: I'll go get Mr. Dahl. He's 11 just standing in the hallway. 12 MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, with this 13 review that's going to be taking place, we've asked 14 the government to make sure it just doesn't cover a 15 memo from the June 2009 inspection, but any other documents that may relate to Mr. Corbett's 16 17 testimony. 18 MR. PIAGGIONE: We indicated we were aware 19 of that in asking them to look for any other 20 documents. 21 And, again, I appreciate THE COURT: 22 working together on this. It makes a lot of sense. 23 I think Mr. Linsin's comment about the government

being cooperative throughout in making available

the notes and 3500 materials is -- is well taken.

24

So thank you.

And I think we can proceed this way with the next witness. We won't incur any real downtime. I think we'll still be, from the jury's standpoint, able to accomplish a proper communication of the evidence.

So, if there's nothing further, then we'll have the jury brought in.

MR. LINSIN: You will explain the circumstances to the jury, your Honor?

THE COURT: As best I can.

MR. LINSIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: As counsel probably knows, I don't always get it right. So we'll try to do that, and if anybody wants to add on or correct or just whatever, please do.

(Jury seated.)

THE COURT: You probably thought you weren't going to see us again, but we're back. Please have a seat, ladies and gentlemen.

Miss Russ, are you a little cold?

A JUROR: It's good in here. It's cold in there.

THE COURT: We have difficulty with one of the -- of the valves, the heater valves in there.

So we're still trying to work on that. That's why you have to be all weather prepared when you come here. It's a pretty substantial difference in temperature sometimes. Sometimes it gets stuck the other way, and it gets a little uncomfortably warm there. Hopefully we'll get it straightened out.

But as we started the day, I made reference to 3/13/13, so with that in mind, we're going to have a little variation in what we've been regularly doing where a witness is called and then we complete the witness's testimony on both direct and cross-examination.

We're not going to do that with Mr. Corbett.

We're going to break, and I think it will be effective in terms of our ability to maximize the use of time. We're going to break from Mr. Corbett's testimony. We will resume with him, but not immediately. So, if you would note for yourself that we will resume at an appropriate time when we have everything ready with the cross-examination by Mr. Linsin and then followed by Mr. Personius, and we'll try to wrap up with redirect and re-cross if there is any of Mr. Corbett maybe this afternoon. We'll see how it goes. But in any event, in close proximity of the

testimony of yesterday and today.

In the meantime, so that we can continue on and be as efficient as we possibly can, the government has a next witness, and we're going to start with Daniel Dahl, is that right?

MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor, it's David
Dahl. The government would call David Dahl to the
witness stand.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And with respect to the witness change here -- Mr. Dahl, if you could stay right there. Don't enter the witness box yet. I mean, don't -- there's nothing for you to infer or imply. We just need to work through administratively some things, and then we'll bring the witness back, okay?

Mr. Dahl, we're going to have you sworn as a witness, and if you're ready for that, please.

D A V I D A. D A H L, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Morning.

THE COURT: How are you today?

THE WITNESS: Oh, pretty good.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you got to convince us. At least with that statement we're

not so sure.

In any event, let me give you some preliminary instructions. You're here to testify for the benefit of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

And what I'm going to ask you to do is to move a little closer to the microphone. You don't have to be right on top of it, because then it distorts if you do that. Speak in the direction of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, because you're here for their benefit.

Keep your voice at a conversational tone. And aim it at the microphone. It's friendly, and it should pick you up without any difficulty. If you don't understand a question -- we're trying to move through this -- ask the attorney or me if I'm asking you the question, to repeat it. Don't answer a question that you don't understand.

Try to be as concise as you can. That works for your benefit and everybody else's. When you answer the questions, don't volunteer information. That actually complicates things. If you can answer a question yes or no, please try to do it in that fashion. And then lastly, if there's an objection, wait until I rule on the objection. It's the attorneys jobs to object. Then I'll tell

you, okay, complete your answer, wait before you answer the question, wait for another question, I'll give you some instructions on how to proceed. Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. I think the jury wants to know who you are. State your full name, spell your last name, and speak into the microphone, please.

THE WITNESS: My name David A. Dahl, D-A-H-L.

THE COURT: Okay. I think you're going to carry very well. Thank you.

Your witness, Mr. Mango.

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Dahl. How are you?
- A. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. All right. Mr. Dahl, can you tell the jury if you're currently employed?
- 21 A. Yes, I am.
- 22 Q. And who are you employed with?
- 23 A. Tonawanda Coke.
- Q. And when did you start working at the Tonawanda
 Coke Corporation?

A. April of '93.

1

2

3

7

8

- Q. And I'd like to have you go through your positions you've held. But why don't you start,
- 4 what position do you currently hold there?
- 5 A. At the present I'm the coal handling supervisor.
 - Q. Okay. And how long have you been in that position?
 - A. Five, maybe six years.
- Q. Okay. So five years back, six years back from today would be 2007?
- 12 \blacksquare A. 2007 somewhere in there, yes.
- Q. And if can you tell the jury what your job duties are as a coal handling supervisor, please.
- 15 A. My department handles all the coal that comes into the plant. We process the coal, blend it.
- And send a mix over for the ovens that they put in the ovens to make our product.
- Q. Is there something known as the coal handling building?
- 21 A. Yes, there is.
- Q. And it's a relatively large building, is that right?
- 24 A. Yes, it's pretty big, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And from -- from where you generally

work in coal handling, in that building, is it fair to say that you have a decent view of the grounds at Tonawanda Coke?

- A. Yes. I can see reasonably well around the plant.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Dahl, let's start in April of -- did you say April of '93?
- A. Right.

- Q. Why don't we start there in April of '93. At least give the jury a snapshot of the different jobs you've worked.
- A. In '93 I was still hourly, learning all the machines, breaking in on the ovens. '95 I believe it was I started breaking in for a shift foreman. Went on my own approximately six months later, and was a shift foreman for about ten, maybe 11 years. Then I went to our transportation department for a couple of years on the afternoon shift. And then in between coming to coal handling a couple of times and other positions in the plant that we needed filled, quote, temporarily.
- Q. Okay. And that transportation, that's relating to the finished coke product, is that right?
- A. Yes, it is. That's the shipping alley and loading of our products.

- Q. Okay. You used the term "shift foreman". Is that also synonomous with the term "general
 - A. Yes. General foreman or a shift foreman, yes.
 - Q. And that involves work on the battery?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. All right. Let's talk, are you familiar with the quench towers at Tonawanda Coke?
 - A. Yes, I am.

foreman?"

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10 Q. How many quench towers are there?
- 11 A. Two towers.
- 12 Q. All right. If you can tell the jury what -13 how do you refer to the different quench towers at
 14 Tonawanda Coke?
- 15 A. We have either the east or the west, or number 16 1 tower, number 2 tower.
- 17 | Q. Okay.
- 18 A. The east tower would be number 2. The west tower would be number 1.
- Q. Okay. During your time as a general foreman,
 lets focus on that. Did you ever have an occasion
 to go inside the quench towers?
 - A. Yes.

23

Q. All right. Explain why you would go in the quench towers, if you could, for the jury, please.

A. The east tower we would check the quenching time on. We would have to do a little bit of maintenance work on the nozzles that spray the water on the coke. They would get plugged up from time to time. So we would also check the quenching times on the ovens. We'd actually check it about once a week. The east tower would be only normally in the tower if we had a derailment. I'm sorry, the west tower would be only if we had a derailment, then we'd actually be in the tower.

- Q. The east tower, this number 2 tower?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Can you actually drive from one side on tracks through to the other side?
- 15 A. Yes, you can.
- \parallel Q. Okay. So the tracks go all the way through?
- A. Right. The car has to go through the tower in order to reach the other side, where we actually push the electronic buttons to start the quench.
 - Q. So the driver of the -- was that called the quench car?
 - A. The quench car, hot car operator, yes.
 - Q. The driver of that quench car, the positioning of where the driver is is such that the driver has to go all the way through the quench tower to -- to

bring the storage area of the car into the quenching area?

- A. That's correct. You have to drive through the tower with the locomotive, and the wagon would be behind you, under you behind the tower -- or actually in the tower.
- Q. Have you ever driven the quench car through the number 2 tower?
- A. Yes, I have.

- Q. Okay. And how about the number 1 tower, does that have tracks that go all the way through that you can drive right through?
- A. No, it doesn't. You can only come in so far just with the wagon. If you go any further, you'll either hit a bumper and/or derail the car.
- Q. So for the tower on the west, the quench car is, what, backed into the tower so the operator of the quench car never goes into the tower, is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. With respect to quench tower number 1, did you ever observe baffles inside of that tower?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Again, this is your time as a general foreman, which I think you mentioned between 1995 and 2005?

- A. Right. That would be about the time frame, ves.
 - Q. Okay. With respect to quench tower number 2, did you ever observe baffles inside of that tower?
 - A. No, I did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

- Q. And during your time as general foreman, what was the frequency for use of either tower number 1 or tower number 2?
- A. We would alternate the towers as much as possible.
- 11 Q. Now, how about after 2005 when you left to go

 12 into the transportation department and then

 13 ultimately into your current position, coal

 14 handling. Did you ever have the opportunity to

 15 make observations as to what quench tower was being

 16 used at a particular time?
 - A. Well, you can see the towers if you're on the right side of my building, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And that's the coal handling building we're talking about?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And were you able to see the quench steam plumes from your building?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So after 2005 did you ever make any

observations about the frequency of use of either 1 or 2?

- A. As far as I could recollect, they were used approximately the same other than if we had a maintenance issue where it might be down for a few hours or part of a day shift usually when the mechanics worked on it.
- Q. Okay. So you physically saw steam plumes coming from both quench towers?
- A. As best I can remember, yes, we used the towers to the alternate policy.
- Q. And you mentioned if the towers were out of service for a little period of time just now. Do you recall any periods of time that either number 1 or number 2 were down for an extended period of time?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to switch your attention now,
 Mr. Dahl, to some abandoned tanks that are out in
 the coal field area. During your employment at
 Tonawanda Coke, did you become familiar with two
 abandoned tanks in the coal field?
- A. Yes.

Q. All right. In approximately 1998, do you recall making any observations of these tanks?

- A. We took a ride out by that area, Mr. Gerry Priamo and myself, yes.
 - Q. And you and Gerry Priamo went out there?
 - A. Yes, we did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And you made some observations of these tanks?
- A. The tanks were there, and the only thing we noticed was there was like a road built with breeze going out towards the tanks.
 - Q. Okay. And at some point after you made those observations, did you see anything else brought and put on the surface around the tanks?
 - A. Looked like breeze around the area, and there was a lot of water.
 - Q. Okay. Let's now move ahead in time a little bit. Do you recall whether these tanks were involved in a fire during your time at Tonawanda Coke?
- 18 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Okay. When was that fire if you can tell the jury?
- 21 A. I believe it was July of '08.
- Q. All right. Can you describe for the jury what you remember about that fire?
- A. One of my hourly operators was doing his normal checks, and he saw the fire I believe start. He

called me right away, and then we went and got ahold of a few people and got everything in motion to try and take care of the fire.

- Q. Okay. Did you, so the term goes, spring into action and try to put this fire out?
- A. Right. We brought our fire trucks. We have a couple fires trucks in the plant. We energized those and brought them over as quickly as we could. We tried to run some fire hoses from our connections, the hydrants that were close, and we started working on putting it out as quickly as we could.
- Q. Okay. Were you successful in putting it out with the crew that you had?
- A. No, we were not.
- Q. During the fire, did you observe any material running out of the tanks on to the ground?
- \blacksquare A. Yes, we did.

- Q. What -- can you tell the jury what was the material that you observed?
- A. It looked like tar to me.
- Q. Okay. So you saw tar coming out of the tanks on to the ground?
- MR. LINSIN: Objection, asked and answered.

THE COURT: I'll let it stand. Move on, please.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Yes. How much tar did you see come out of the tanks and go on to the ground?
- A. I'd say approximately two, maybe three end loader buckets, which would equate to 10 tons, maybe 15 tons.
- Q. Okay. Let's now talk about after the fire.

 And let me bring your attention to late summer of 2009. Do you remember making any observations in the area of these tanks at that time?
- A. Someone had started to remove some of the tar from one of the burned tanks.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. Prior to you making that observation, the tar that you had observed come out of the tank, what happened to it in between the fire and the time in late summer of 2009 that you saw this -- made this observation?
- A. I believe that was still there.
- Q. Stayed on the ground?
- A. It was still in the containment area. There were berms around the area, but it was still there right on the outside of the tanks left from the fire.

THE COURT: All right. What do you refer to as the containment area, please?

THE WITNESS: The tanks in question were surrounded by like a bermed area, or like a dammed area to prevent anything, I assume, from going any further. Like a containment area.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MANGO: Okay. And I'll ask some follow-up questions to that, your Honor. Thank you.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. That berm area, that was like an earthen berm, is that correct?
- A. Yeah, I believe it was mostly earthen, and I think the one side of it may have been like breeze or coke that was basically contaminated.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Not contaminated chemically, but just contaminated, in other words, size-wise.
- Q. Okay. You couldn't use it size-wise, is that what you mean contaminated?
- A. Well, we could, but we would have to screen it back through and resize it to the individual sizes that we need.
 - Q. Okay. So in late summer of 2009 you observed

- some activity in and around these tanks, right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Let me show you what's in evidence, your Honor, as Government Exhibit 136.01, if we could.
 - Mr. Dahl, do you see that on your screen?
- A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Is that one of the tanks in question you recall seeing in late summer of 2009?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And is that approximately how it looked in late summer of 2009?
- 12 A. I would say so, yes.
- Q. All right. If we can go to Exhibit 136.02, please, your Honor, in evidence.
- Mr. Dahl, do you see that photograph on your screen?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Does this also depict one of the tanks that you observed in late summer of 2009?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So who did you -- did you -- did
 you know who was removing material from these
 tanks? Did you make that observation?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was that?

A. Jon Rogers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

- Q. Okay. Jon Rogers, you saw -- why don't you tell the jury exactly what did you see Jon Rogers doing?
- A. Mr. Rogers came over with one of our excavators and started removing some of the product from the tank, put it into an end loader, and then sent the end loader over to our coal field.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Where he dumped it in a pile.
- 11 Q. So a coal pile?
- 12 A. A coal pile, yes.
- 13 Q. Yes. A coal pile on the ground?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you observed that?
- 16 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. How long did you see Mr. Rogers scooping the material out?
 - A. Approximately a week, maybe two weeks at the most on and off. It wasn't an everyday thing.
- Q. Now, you've got a pretty good knowledge of the different coal piles being in coal handling, is that fair to say?
- 24 A. I would hope so, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you make -- do you know when you

made this observation of the material from these tanks being excavated, brought in an end loader to a coal pile, which coal pile that was? Is there a name for it?

- A. Yes. It was the -- probably the closest pile to the fire area or to those tanks and it was I believe keene.
- Q. Okay.

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I did not hear the witness's response. May I ask it be repeated?

THE COURT: Yes, the name please, can you repeat that?

THE WITNESS: The name of the coal was keene, $\mbox{K-E-E-N-E}\,.$

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. And, Mr. Dahl, the keene pile was one of these piles of coal that you had, as you said, in the vicinity of these tanks?
- A. Yes. That would have been the closest pile to where the fire actually had happened with the tanks.
 - Q. All right. All right. We can take that down, Lauren. Thank you.

Mr. Dahl, I'd like to ask you, are you familiar
with the term "coal tar sludge"?

- A. Coal tar, yes.
- Q. Okay. Coal tar or coal tar sludge, you use those two terms synonymously?
- A. Normally we'll just call it tar, or coal tar or the sludge is relative. It's all the same to me.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know if that material, this tar sludge, is produced during the coking operations at Tonawanda Coke?
- 11 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- Q. Is there a location in by-products where the tar sludge is accumulated?
- 14 A. Yes, there is. There is a what we call the tar
 15 box on Broadway.
 - Q. Are you aware of whether that tar sludge is a hazardous waste?
 - A. No.
- Q. In your current position or in any past
 positions were you ever given any type of hazardous
 waste training?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. All right. Have you ever heard of the term K087?
- 25 A. No, I have not.

- Q. Okay. Now during your time after becoming -after leaving a general foreman and into your
 transportation supervisor, and then into the coal
 handling supervisor, I want to talk about that time
 period. So from 2007 to up to the present, okay?
- A. Okay.

- Q. During that time do you know, after leaving the tar box, where, if anywhere, the tar sludge was brought?
- A. Some of it was brought to the concrete pad.

 Some of it was actually put out and mixed right in the coal piles.
 - Q. Okay. And did you observe this mixing into the coal piles?
 - A. If it was done while I was there, yes. In other words, on the day shift most of the time, yes.
- Q. But you've made -- you've seen it happen though?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Tell the jury, if you could, when it went to the coal piles, what you observed.
- A. The loader that picked it up would bring it over to the pile, and then would dump it on the side of the coal pile, back up, push it back up

into the pile to try to mix it up with the coal for a few minutes, and then if necessary he would stay there and try and clean his bucket a little bit before he went elsewhere in the plant.

- Q. Okay. And you also mentioned sometimes it would go to the pad. When it went to the pad, can you tell the jury -- did you observe that happen too?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Tell the jury, please, what you -- what you observed when you saw that.
- A. When they dropped it on our containment area or concrete pad, they would pull up most of the time on the side and dump it over the three-and-a-half-foot wall, give or take, off of the ground, so the tar would actually be in the containment area on the pad. Then they would wait a few minutes to drip their buckets, and if they had to go clean again their bucket, they would pick up a bucket of the coal that we were mixing with it at the time, and then take that back also and dump that on the pad as well, and we would mix it up from there with an excavator and then run it at some point.
- Q. Okay. When the tar sludge was mixed into the coal piles -- into the coal piles, not in the

pad -- did you make any observations as to how long
it would sit on the coal piles or in the coal
piles?

- A. On average we would run it either the same day it was put in if we were able to do that, or the next day. So within, I'll say, a 24-hour time frame most of the time.
- Q. Most of the time. Did you ever see it -- it sit in the piles longer than 24 hours?
- A. Only if we had more than we could actually run on that particular day.
 - Q. Okay. Mr. Dahl, can you explain for the jury and give an approximate percentage number of how many times you observed the material go to the coal piles versus go to the -- to the pad?
 - A. I would say approximately 60/40.
- 17 Q. Okay. 60 percent to the coal piles?
- 18 A. Right.

- Q. And 40 percent to the pad?
- A. Right. That would be also based on the room
 that we had on the concrete pad at any given time.

 There were times when the pad was full or close to
 full, and there were times where we had lots of
 room where we could accommodate it.
 - Q. Okay. Your Honor, if we could pull up

- Government Exhibit 3.01 which is in evidence.
- I'd like to show you that, Mr. Dahl. Do you see that?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. What is that photograph that you see?
- 6 A. That's the concrete pad.
 - Q. Okay.

5

7

8

15

16

17

- A. Containment area.
- 9 Q. Would you consider this full, this concrete pad?
- 11 A. That end of the pad is full, yes. I can't see
 12 the other end. But from the end that it was
 13 photographed, yes, that end of the pad is fairly
 14 full.
 - Q. Okay. So, thank you, Lauren. Take that down.

 Let's talk about -- so in 2007 did the mixing

 of the tar sludge into the coal piles occur

 in 2007?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Just want to make sure it's clear.
- 21 In 2008 did it happen in the coal piles?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And 2009 did it happen in the coal piles?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you know, Mr. Dahl, if anything

happened in 2009 to cause a change to the practice of mixing the tar sludge into the coal piles?

- A. We had some visitors come in on -- late in the fall that year I believe or December, which kind of changed a few of our policies.
- Q. Okay. I see.

- A. A federal raid.
- Q. I see you smiling when you mention visitors.

 Now you just said it was a federal raid. Is that also -- have you heard the term "the search warrant"?
- 12 A. The search warrant, yes.
 - Q. Okay. So the search warrant happens, and then was it after the search warrant that there was a change in this policy?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Tell the jury, please --

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, just for clarity, I'm not sure what policy is being inquired about.

THE COURT: I think there needs to be a follow-up on that. We're talking about December 9th, right?

MR. MANGO: December of 2009. I don't think we got an exact date from this witness, your Honor. I don't want to put words into the

witness's mouth. He said December of 2009.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANGO: And the earlier question was, did anything happen in 2009 that caused a change to the practice of mixing tar sludge into the coal piles. So I'll keep that consistent.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. What happened, Mr. Dahl, if you can recall after the -- the search warrant to the practice of mixing tar sludge into the coal piles?
- A. Mr. Jon Rogers and Mr. Kamholz, Mark Kamholz, came over to us and explained that we were going to have a change the way the end loaders would handle the coal tar. In other words, bringing it from our Broadway tar box, everything that we did handle would be processed on our concrete pad.
- Q. Okay. So you mentioned Mark Kamholz. He was one of the people that communicated this policy to you?
- A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And do you see Mr. Kamholz here in court today?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.

MR. MANGO: Your Honor, may the record reflect Defendant Kamholz has stood up, and there

1 was an acknowledgment that that was Mr. Kamholz. 2 THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect 3 that Mr. Dahl has identified Defendant Mark 4 Kamholz. You may proceed. 5 MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, at this point I'd 8 like to pull up Defendant's Exhibit for 9 identification purposes BBB. Triple B. And absent 10 an objection, your Honor, I would move this into 11 evidence as a business record of the Tonawanda Coke 12 Corporation. 13 THE COURT: That's the letter document, 14 yes. 15 MR. MANGO: And in particular page 10 of 16 this document. 17 THE COURT: Maybe you want to scroll the 18 Yes, Mr. Linsin? 19 MR. LINSIN: That would be helpful, but 20 also is there a representation this witness knows 21 anything about this exhibit, that's my threshold 22 question.

THE COURT: Is there a threshold question you can ask so we can get into that, if, after viewing it, he knows what it is, and then we can

23

24

see if there's any objection to its being published.

MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. We can take that down.

BY MR. MANGO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

- Q. Mr. Dahl, have you ever seen any type of memorandum relating to the recycling of K-listed hazardous wastes at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation? No, I haven't.
- Okay. And if there had been such a memorandum in existence, as --

MR. LINSIN: Objection to a hypothetical question, your Honor. Witness says he never saw such a memorandum. I don't understand the relevance.

THE COURT: Yeah, it could be almost anything content-wise, so I'll sustain that objection.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Well, I asked you regarding K-listed hazardous waste. Do you know if there's any memorandums regarding the -- the mixing of coal tar sludge into the coal piles at Tonawanda Coke?
- A. Are you talking now, or are you talking prior to a certain time?

1 I'm talking from 2007 time period, when you 2 were -- would have first started working in the 3 coal handling area. 4 A. At that time to my knowledge, no, there was 5 nothing in writing or that we were ever informed. 6 Q. Okay. 7 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, if I could just 8 have a moment? 9 THE COURT: All right. Did that cover the period from December -- or from 2007 until the 10 11 present time, is that what you're saying? 12 THE WITNESS: That was up until 2009 when we had the federal raid or the federal search. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 15 MR. MANGO: If I could a moment, your 16 Honor? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. MANGO: We're all set, your Honor. 19 further questions for Mr. Dahl. 20 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Mango. 21 Mr. Linsin. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN: 23 Q. Afternoon, Mr. Dahl. How are you? 24 A. Just fine.

MR. LINSIN: May I proceed, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Thank you. In the positions you held,
 Mr. Dahl, at Tonawanda Coke, were you ever involved
 personally in the maintenance work on these quench
 towers that you testified about?
- A. The only thing we would do would be to call our shift repairmen and/or the normal maintenance people if there was a big problem.
- Q. All right. Do you -- do you understand what I -- what I mean when I refer to a passthrough system for the quench water? Does that ring any bells with you?
 - A. You want to say that again?
 - Q. Let me try that again. Do you remember -- do you have any recollection of one of the quench towers originally having a quenching system where the quench water just quenched the coke one time, and then was discharged through the storm drains?

 A. No. As far as I can recollect, it was always
 - Q. Do you recall that quench tower number 2 had a recycling system in it to recycle the quench water?
- A. Right, yes.

recycled.

Q. And do you recall during this 2005-2009 time

period that quench tower number 1, the west quench tower, the taller tower, actually had to have a recirculation system installed in it?

A. That is correct.

- Q. And is it correct that that required a significant amount of piping at the base of the tower in order to capture -- construction of a concrete moat first of all, correct?
- A. Well, the moat was already there for the containment for the water. But it had to be piped to recirculate the water back into the holding tower.
- Q. All right. And do you recall how long that work took in order to accomplish that recirculation of the water in quench tower number 1?
- A. I really don't remember how long the tower was, quote, down or out of service.
- Q. All right. But as a matter of fact, again during this 2005-2009 time frame, you remember that one or another of the towers were, at times, out of service for possibly weeks or even more on end, correct?
- A. Most of my recollection would be anywhere from a day to maybe three or four days at the most on one of the towers.

- Q. Okay. But the work you just were testifying about with regard to quench tower number 1 was not accomplished in that time frame, correct?
- A. I don't remember how long it took or when they actually initiated that.
- Q. All right. Now, you also testified that based on the observations you actually made of the quenching operations in these two towers, you recall at times that these quench towers were used on an alternating basis, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And isn't it true, Mr. Dahl, that you saw quench tower number 1, the west quench tower, used more frequently in the winter months, is that correct?
- A. No. To the best of my knowledge they were still alternated practically every other oven.
- Q. No. My question is, is it true --

MR. MANGO: I'm going to object. It's the same question. I think he's going to -- he answered the question no, and then he explained it.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, your objection is noted, but I'll permit a next question.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. You've given a number of interviews over the course of the last couple of years about the facts you were just testifying about, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And do you recall giving an interview to Mr. Piaggione and some of the agents about this subject regarding quench tower use, among other topics?
- A. Yes.

Q. All right. May I have for -- what's been marked for identification as Government's Exhibit 3513.05?

MR. MANGO: Your Honor, again, this is up on the witness's screen. I haven't heard him say that he, you know, is unable to make a recollection where he's going to need to be refreshed. These are not his notes, and I don't think they're proper at this point to be up on the screen.

THE COURT: Well, it depends on what you're going to do with it. If it's an impeachment matter, it's another thing.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. No. Fair enough. Let's take this down for just a moment. Hold that thought, if you would.

Do you recall when you spoke to Mr. Piaggione

and some of the agents what you told them about the quench tower usage?

- A. I don't remember. It's been so long.
- Q. Okay. May I now have Government's Exhibit 3513.05 for identification?

THE COURT: All right, and it is on the monitor at this point, but limited.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Yes. And I'm going to ask you, first of all, do you see the exhibit sticker 3513.05 at the top right-hand corner, correct, Government's Exhibit?
- A. Yes.

- Q. These are not your notes, correct?
- A. No, I can't even read the writing.
- Q. All right. We'll give this a go. Can you read that name?
- 17 A. No.
 - Q. All right. Let me go to the third page of this exhibit, please. If we could enlarge that section of the notes. And my request for you, Mr. Dahl, is just this, to read through these notes as best you can, and just tell me when you're done reading through them, and I have a question for you.
 - MR. MANGO: Your Honor, I've got to object here. He didn't recognize the notes. We have no

tie-in of these notes to this witness. I think the foundation is lacking here for the use of these notes.

THE COURT: It's not lacking if he can read this document. Because he can use anything to refresh his recollection, as you know. So, once that's established, then we're okay.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure where you're going, but I'll say that doesn't look familiar at all to me.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. If we can take this down. Now here's my question, setting those notes aside for a moment.

Do you now remember what you told Mr. Piaggione and some of the agents in one of these earlier interviews about the quench tower usage?

- A. No.
- Q. All right. Do you recall that part of the difficulty with regard to quench tower number 1 in the winter was that the pipes would sometimes freeze?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Because they weren't being used, do you recall that?
 - A. If you don't use it, yes, about every hour to

two hours, you could have your pipes freeze, yes.

- Q. And do you recall that there was, in fact, a computerized automatic quenching system put in to quench tower number 1 so that the water would flow through that quenching system without it having to quench a load from the charge car, do you recall that?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

- Q. All right. And do you recall when that was done?
- A. I don't remember when it was put in, but it would quench approximately once an hour or once every two hours, depending on where they had it set.
- Q. On an automatic basis, right?
- 16 A. Yes. Automatic, yes.
- 17 \ Q. And to prevent the pipes from freezing.
- 18 A. Right. That's what we were told.
- Q. All right. And you were asked some
 questions -- general questions about the
 intermittent observations of the usage of these
 quench towers that you made over the years that you
 testified about, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. But as you sit here today, you're

not able, are you, to tell us how -- what percentage of time any one of those quench towers was used in a given month, are you?

A. No.

- Q. And you're certainly not able to tell us what percentage of time those quench towers are used in a given year, correct?
- A. No. Based on normal day-to-day operations and maintenance, I would say if you averaged it out, it would be approximately 50/50.
- Q. My question is not for you to guess, sir. My question is you made certain observations at certain intervals of time, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And the testimony you just offered was a generalization based on those occasional operations, correct?
 - A. Right. We never actually kept track of which tower we were using for every oven. No, you couldn't do that.
 - Q. You didn't keep track of it either?
- A. I didn't, no.
- Q. And so if somebody were to ask you to tell us with reliability what percentages of time either one of these quench towers was used in any one of

1 the years between 2005 and 2009, you couldn't tell 2 us that, correct? 3 Dialed to an exact number, no. Α. 4 MR. LINSIN: I have nothing further. 5 Thank you, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Linsin, thank you. 7 Mr. Personius, it's been a long time, please 8 come on up. 9 MR. PERSONIUS: Did you miss me, Judge? 10 THE COURT: Do you want me to answer that? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS: 11 12 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Dahl. 13 Α. Good afternoon. 14 Q. We've met before, correct? 15 Α. Yeah, we have. 16 Q. Okay. Just so again you know who I am, I 17 represent Mark Kamholz. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. Just on your testimony regarding the

- Q. Okay. Just on your testimony regarding the usage of these quench towers, what you're doing is telling us that on those occasions when you paid attention to the quench towers, it was your impression that it was alternating, is that fair?
- A. You want to say that again, please?

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Sure. When you testify about the relative

- usage of each quench tower -- let me start with this. You're not suggesting that it was your job to keep track?
 - A. Right, that's correct.
 - Q. And you worked I think for the period of time we're concerned with here primarily or exclusively in the coal handling building, is that fair?
- A. Most of that time, yes, from after '05, '06, yes.
- Q. During the course of the day as -- were you the head of coal handling?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

- Q. And what -- what would be your duties as the head of coal handling?
- 15 A. We processed the coal and make the blend for the finished coal to go to the ovens.
 - Q. All right. And where is it that you -- within the coal handling building, where is it you perform these duties?
- A. I'm upstairs on what we call the operator's floor, the main floor upstairs.
- 22 Q. Okay. Is that inside?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. All right. And when you're inside doing that, can you see the quench towers?

A. Absolutely.

- Q. Where do you see them?
- A. If I walk over to -- 20 feet over to the other side of my building at the top I can look right out either window and see either tower.
- Q. How frequently when you're working do you do that?
- A. Two, maybe three times on average, four times maybe, depends on where I have to be in the building on my floor based on what's happening.
- Q. And when you say two, three, four times, you're talking about in the course of the day?
- A. No, probably in a four-hour, five-hour period approximately, what it takes us to make the coal run.
- Q. Why don't you tell us how many times you go over and make this observation during the course of a shift?
- A. I would be on that side -- you're talking the coal handling now on the building. I would be over there anywhere from two to four times on that particular side of the building in the four to five hours.
- Q. When you say "four or five hours", you work a four- or five-hour shift?

- A. That's what it takes approximately for our run time. We're still there eight hours doing other things. But the actual run would be on average four, maybe five hours.
- Q. Are you telling us, whether it's two times or four times during this five-hour period, you would specifically go over to check which quench tower was being used?
- A. No, not at all. I'm saying that I was in the area and quite able to see what's going on in other areas of the plant.
- Q. Okay.

- A. At any given time.
- Q. When you would go over in this area where you could see the quench towers, you're not telling us you were always paying attention to which quench tower was being used?
- A. No. You could tell by the wind. You can tell by the sound of the quench wagon as to which tower it's going to just by the travel alarms.
- Q. But as far as suggesting to this jury that you kept track of which quench tower was used on which occasion, that wasn't part of your job to do that, was it?
- \parallel A. No, it was not.

1 Again, what you're telling us is your best 2 impression of what the usage of the towers was, 3 correct? 4 MR. MANGO: Objection, your Honor. Asked 5 and answered. We've covered this. 6 THE COURT: I'll allow this one and then 7 move on. 8 MR. PERSONIUS: I will. 9 THE WITNESS: No, it was not my job to 10 keep track of it. No. 11 MR. PERSONIUS: I'll move on. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 14 Q. Now, the coal fields where the coal piles 15 were --16 A. Yes. 17 Q. The coal piles themselves are on a base of 18 coal, is that true? 19 A. Yes. 20 And the depth of that coal that these coal Q. 21 piles sit on is how deep? 22 Anywhere from maybe 12 inches up to 2 feet, 23 sometimes even 3 feet thick from the clay. 24 Q. You just mentioned clay. Underneath the coal

25

is clay?

A. Yes.

- Q. When -- did you ever, yourself, actually take the coal tar sludge from the tar bin in a front end loader and put it in the coal piles? Was that part of any job you ever had?
- A. Not for me, no.
- Q. And as far as the fire is concerned that you testified about, you told us that it's your recollection that there were tons, did you say, of coal tar that ran out?
- A. Based on the amount that I could see, I'm going to say there might have been anywhere from 10 tons, 15 tons on the ground, yes.
- Q. All right. Are you telling the jury that you observed that happen?
- A. After the fire, yes. When all the smoke cleared obviously you could get a much better view of it. I think if you look at some of the pictures, you'll see it.
- Q. Okay. So is your testimony based on what you saw that day or based on seeing pictures?
- A. No, I was actually there. I was there trying to put the fire out during everything. It was quite hectic that day.
 - Q. Do you remember you were -- that you testified

- in the grand jury?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Do you remember that that was on February 4th of 2010?
 - A. I don't remember the exact date, but that sounds fairly close, yes.
 - Q. Did you have a chance to review a transcript of that testimony before you took the stand here?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you review it?
- 11 A. Some of it, yes.
- Q. When you say "some of it", what part did you not review?
- A. Well, it was a very long document. I don't think you'd sit down and read it in 20 minutes.
 - Q. Were you only given 20 minutes to read it?
- A. No, I had plenty of time to read it. But I only read what I read.
- Q. And it was your own choice not to read the whole transcript?
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. What you did read, did you find it to be accurate?
- A. Some of it was accurate, some of it was very confusing for me. There were a lot of questions.

- It seemed to be very overwhelming at the time.
- Q. What was overwhelming?

- A. Just the scope of the entire investigation along with the federal raid, and then all of the questions and things that were happening around that.
 - Q. All right. When you tell us that "it was overwhelming", are you referring to the experience of testifying in the grand jury?
 - A. Yes. I don't do real well in front of a lot of people. I'm quite shy actually, and just like to keep to myself.
 - Q. When you read the transcript of your testimony, what parts of it you read, did you find, based on your recollection, that what the transcript showed of the questions asked and the answers you gave was accurate?
- A. Some were and some weren't, yes.
- Q. Can you give us an example of something that you saw in the transcript that was not accurate?
 - A. The process of handling the tar sludge, coal tar, and I'll say it again, some of it did go in the pad, some did go in the piles.
 - Q. But this was -- your testimony was inaccurately recorded in this transcript?

- A. Right.
- Q. Did you bring that to the attention of anyone?
- A. No.

- Q. All right. There were other errors in the transcript?
- A. I'd have to go back and actually review everything if we're talking specifics.
- Q. All right. Let's, if we could please, put
 Government Exhibit for identification 3513.02 on
 the screen, please.

Do you see on the screen, Mr. Dahl, there's a document, and it has a yellow sticker on it?

- A. Yes.
- Q. It has a number on the sticker 3513.02?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. This is the first page of your grand jury transcript, do you agree?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. If we could please, Lauren, I need to go to -my copy of this, I apologize, does not have numbers
 at the bottom, but I'd like to get to page 54 of
 the transcript.

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, may I consult with Mr. Personius for just a brief moment?

THE COURT: Certainly. Certainly.

MR. PERSONIUS: The page we're on,
Mr. Dahl, you can't see it on your copy right now,
but this is page 43, your Honor, of the exhibit.

THE COURT: All right. But there is the number 54 in mid page?

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

Q. Yes, on the page. This is actually page 54 of the grand jury transcript.

Do you see the number 54?

A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Dahl? Could you make that part larger, please? Grab that line above it please if you could too, please, Lauren. You're still missing it. Line 9. It's my fault.

All right. Now, while you were in the grand jury you were asked, starting on line 9 on page 54, and I guess -- this is a question, "And I guess going to -- did you see the coal tar sludge sort of spilling out of the tank during this fire?"

And your answer was, "Right. It was in the summertime, so the tar gets soft in the summer, and then, of course, with the fire and the heat of the fire, I'm sure a lot of it ran in that area. That area is all pretty much dammed off or dyked off.

It's a low spot to begin with. It sits in between

roads. There's roads on either side of it, and that area is hollowed out in the middle where the tanks are."

Question: "But you did see some coal tar sludge coming out of the tank?"

And your answer was, "Yes, there was a little bit seeping out of the edge of the tank. Obviously you can see it right there." And then it has in parentheses, indicating. And then you said, "yes", correct?

- A. Yes, I believe the indicating was when they showed a picture of the tanks if I recall right.
- Q. So what you're referring to here is, after you said, "I'm sure a lot of it ran in that area", and then you said "There was a little bit seeping", you were shown some kind of a photograph of one of these tanks?
- A. I believe so, yes.

- Q. All right. And do you remember, do you know when that photograph was taken that you looked at?
- A. Looked to me like it was shortly after the fire.
- Q. All right. You can take that down, Lauren. Would you put up, please, Lauren -- this is in evidence, Judge -- Government Exhibit 125.01.

Do you see the photograph in front of you --

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Q. -- Mr. Dahl? Do you see this is Government Exhibit 125.01?
- A. Right.
 - Q. You see before it it says 7/08/2008.
- 7 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Is this photograph familiar to you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And is this the photograph you were shown in the grand jury?
- 12 A. I don't remember.
- Q. All right. Well, is it your testimony that you somewhere can see in this photograph tons of this coal tar that you've testified here under oath came out of this tank?
- A. I want to say that it was the other picture of the tank with the tar on the outside.
- Q. Can we go, Lauren, please to -- in evidence, your Honor, Government Exhibit 125.02?

Do you recognize what's shown in this photograph, sir?

A. Yes.

23

- Q. Was this shown to you in the grand jury?
- 25 A. I believe so, yes.

- Q. And again it has a date of 7/8/2008?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

- Q. By the way, that either was the day of or the day after the fire occurred, if you recall?
 - A. It was -- right. It would had to have been the day or the day after I would say, yes. Somewhere right close.
 - Q. We can agree this photograph doesn't depict any leakage of coal tar?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Could we go to, Lauren, please to
 Government Exhibit 125.03 in evidence?
- Do you recognize this photograph, Mr. Dahl?
 - A. I don't remember if I saw this one or not.
- Q. Again, just so we note it, it says 7/08/2008 at the lower right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And could we please go to Government
- 19 Exhibit 125.04 in evidence?
- 20 Do you recognize this photograph?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. All right. What does that depict?
- A. The tank and part of the moat, and also the tanker car that was parked near the tanks.
 - Q. Okay. Just for the jury's benefit, please,

where's the tanker car?

- A. What do you mean where is it?
- Q. You said you see the tanker car in this photograph. Point to it with your finger. I think you'll make a spot on the screen if you do. Thank you.

All right. And tell the jury what that is, please.

- A. It was just a car that was parked in front of the tanks, and it had sat there for as long as I can remember.
- Q. Do you see that part of this -- what you described as this tanker car has been cut open?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know whether or not that tanker car was being worked on with torches at the time the fire started?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. So as far as what caused the fire, you don't know?
 - A. They were in the process of removing either the tanks or the tanker car at the time. But I'm not sure what they were doing when the fire started.
 - Q. All right. So the answer to my question is you don't know?

1 Right. Α. 2 Was this photograph 125.04 shown to you in the 3 in the grand jury? 4 A. I don't remember. 5 MR. PERSONIUS: One minute please, Judge. 6 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 7 Q. Lauren, this is for identification, Government 8 Exhibit 125.05, please. All right. This is 9 just -- this is just for identification. 10 Mr. Dahl, do you see this picture says 125.05? 11 A. Yes. 12 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, we would not 13 object to the introduction of this photograph. MR. PERSONIUS: Okay. 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Linsin, any objection? 16 MR. LINSIN: No objection. Thank you, 17 your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 125.05 received, no 19 objection. It may be published. 20 (Government's Exhibit 125.05 was received 21 into evidence.) 22 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 23 Q. Mr. Dahl, do you see this photograph 125.05 on 24 the screen?

25

Α.

Yes.

- Do you recognize what's shown in this picture? Q.
- Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

- It's similar to 125.04? Q.
- Looks like it, yes. Α.
- All right. And again, it has the date Q.
- 6 7/08/2008 in the lower right?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Is this photograph familiar to you? Q.
 - I don't recall seeing these pictures up until Α. just today. The pictures I recollect were of the tanks that were already burned or pretty much down
- 12 on the ground.
- 13 So they had been cut down?
- 14 A. Partially, yes.
- 15 MR. PERSONIUS: Okay. Just a minute,
- 16 Judge. I've got to figure out which ones those 17 are.
- 18 THE COURT: Why don't we break for lunch 19 at this point.
- 20 MR. PERSONIUS: Okay.
- THE COURT: And we'll resume again at 22 about 2:00 o'clock, ladies and gentlemen. Might be 23 a just a couple of minutes after that. Keep your
- 24 minds open. Please don't discuss the case.
- 25 happens to be a news article today in the local

1 news section of the paper relating to this case. 2 So, I mean, don't buy a paper, don't read it, don't 3 do anything that would interfere with the 4 information you're gathering here in the courtroom. 5 Keep anything in the media aside. 6 Okay. You've been great. Enjoy the lunch. 7 Sun's out there. Spring is almost sprung, so we'll 8 see you today a little after two. 9 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 10 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Dahl, you can step 11 Thank you. You have to be back about down. 12 2:00 o'clock. 13 Thank you. We'll see you around two. 14 MR. PERSONIUS: Thank you. 15 MR. LINSIN: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 (Lunch recess was taken.) (Jury not present in the courtroom.) 18 19 THE COURT: Are there any preliminary 20 matters, or are we set to go? 21 Judge, we did resolve the MR. MANGO: 22 issue with the document I believe. If you'd like, 23 we can resume Mr. Corbett's cross-examination after 24 Mr. Dahl.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LINSIN: I can confirm, your Honor, we received an email that was written by Mr. Corbett's supervisor on the day of that June inspection. It recounts a discussion concerning Mr. Corbett. That may have been all that was produced. It's not quite consistent with what the witness testified, but we're satisfied the government has searched the files and provided what they are able to identify.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PIAGGIONE: If I can just add, your Honor, we did speak to Mr. Corbett, and basically he said it appeared that he gave an oral report to his supervisor, who then issued this email to someone else. And that's why it didn't register coming from Mr. Corbett. And that's why it wasn't produced before.

The second inspection, defense counsel had the memo which he gave a report. However, it was dated much later than the actual inspection. So there wasn't a connection made on that until we pointed that out.

THE COURT: Okay. The record will so reflect. I'll guess we'll recall David Dahl first, and we'll complete the examination.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, if I could,

there was one other issue before Mr. Corbett comes back to the stand. Defense counsel indicated he was going to attempt to read parts of a stipulation that had been given to the jury -- already had been read to the jury before, and this witness has indicated he has no personal knowledge of that stipulation. We don't see how that's going to be relevant. It's already been placed before the jury, and it appears to be repetitive or bolstering of some sort.

THE COURT: That's with respect to Mr. Corbett?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's deal with it at that time. We'll take care of Mr. Dahl right now, and we can move on.

Mr. Dahl, if you resume the stand, please, and, Chris, if you bring the jury in, please.

(Jury seated.)

THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. Please have a seat.

Okay. The attorneys and parties are back, present. You, of course, are all here, and you know that roll call is waived. Witness David Dahl is on the witness stand. He remains under oath.

He's on cross-examination.

Mr. Personius, I think you're ready to resume?

MR. PERSONIUS: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. If you would, please.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Dahl.
- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. When we broke for lunch, do you remember that you had been referred to a section of your grand jury testimony from February 4th of 2010?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And you recall that that related to testimony you provided regarding the amount of coal tar that you recollected at the time of your grand jury testimony had come out of the tank at the time of the fire?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And I had shown you a number of photographs?
- A. Right.
- Q. And I understood your testimony to be that it was none of those photographs that was shown to you at the time of your grand jury testimony, is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Could we please, Lauren, have

 Government Exhibit -- this is in evidence, 3.04 put

 on the screen?

THE COURT: And it is published.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Mr. Dahl, do you see this picture that's on the screen?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is this the photograph that was shown to you in the grand jury?
- 12 A. It looks like one of them, yes.
- Q. Okay. And is this a photograph you were referring to when you provided the testimony about seepage --
 - A. Yes.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . -- out of the edge of the tank?
- A. Yes. I believe there were two different
 photographs. That's one of them with the tar
 running over the side, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Is this -- well, first of all, show us where in this photograph you were referring to in your grand jury testimony.
 - A. You're talking for the tar coming out of the tank?

Q. Right. What you had said in your testimony was -- this is Government Exhibit 3513.02 on page 43 of the exhibit. And I'm reading from lines 20 through 22 of your testimony on page 54 of the transcript. You said, "Yes, there was a little bit seeping out of the edge of the tank. Obviously you can see it right there." And then the transcript says "indicating". And you said, "Yes".

So where were you referring to?

A. This area right here.

- Q. Okay. Now is this how the tank appeared at the time of the fire?
- A. Right after the fire, yes.
- Q. Okay. This is how the tank looked?
- \blacksquare A. The best of my knowledge, yes.
- Q. All right. Can we go to Government
 Exhibit 3.05?

18 THE COURT: That's what we have here.

MR. PERSONIUS: That's in evidence.

THE COURT: This is -- okay.

MR. PERSONIUS: Yes, it is in evidence,

Judge.

THE COURT: And it's published.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

Q. Mr. Dahl, is this another of the photographs

- that was shown to you in the grand jury?

 A. Yes.

 O. All right. And is this a photograph
 - Q. All right. And is this a photograph of the same area that's shown in the last photograph, do you know?
 - A. I believe it's the other side of the same tank.
 - Q. All right. But when you testified in the grand jury and pointed to the seepage, you were referring to the last photograph, not this one?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And just to finish this out, would you go to
- 14 | 3.06?

4

5

6

7

8

- Do you see this photograph?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you shown this in the grand jury?
- 18 A. I don't remember seeing this one, no.
- Q. And Lauren, 3.07 in evidence, please.

 Do you recognize this photograph?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. All right. And 3.08 in evidence please,
 Lauren.
- Were you shown this one in the grand jury, this photograph?

- A. I don't think so, no.
- Q. And then the last one, Lauren, would be 3.09 in evidence.

Were you shown this one in the grand jury?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

24

25

Q. All right. So just for a minute, Lauren, please go back to 3.04.

This is the photograph you were referring to in the grand jury?

- A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. I was shown two photographs, and they both had the tar coming over the sides.
- Q. Okay. And your recollection is this is how the tank appeared after the fire?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Are you aware of the fact that this photograph and 3.05 were taken about a year after the fire?
 - A. No.
- Q. And does that change your testimony about how the tanks appeared after the fire?
- A. No, not really. As far as I can recollect that's what everything looked like.
 - Q. Okay. And then my last question, you had told us that you reviewed parts of your transcript

- before you took the witness stand? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And that you found errors in certain parts of Q. 4 your testimony? 5 Correct. 6 Q. This testimony that I read to you about 7 seepage, was that one of the areas you found that 8 was an error in your testimony? 9 A. I don't believe so, no. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. PERSONIUS: May I have a minute 12 please, Judge? Lauren, you can take that down. 13 THE COURT: Certainly. 14 MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, that's all we 15 have at this time. 16 Thank you, Mr. Dahl. 17 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Personius, thank 18 you. 19 Mr. Mango, any redirect? 20 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO: 22 Q. Good afternoon, again, Mr. Dahl. How are you? 23 All right. Α.
 - Q. It was about three years ago we met, is that correct, in the grand jury?

A. I believe so, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

- Q. And if you can tell the grand [sic] jurors, was that a fun experience for you, or a not a fun experience?
- A. No, it was not pleasant.
- Q. All right. And you mentioned, Mr. Dahl, you mentioned that you were -- you felt a little overwhelmed in the grand jury?
- A. Yes. There was a lot going on, and I also had some things in my personal life which were not going very well at the time. So there was quite a bit of stress, yes.
 - Q. Would it be fair to say it's a lot easier for us to talk now in this setting than it was in the grand jury?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Would you describe yourself as being nervous when you testified in the grand jury?
- 19 A. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. Is it your testimony here today that you
 observed 10 to 15 tons of coal tar come out of the
 tank during the fire?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to pull up, your Honor, if we could,
 Government Exhibit 125.04 in evidence.

1 Mr. Dahl, you were shown some photographs 2 during cross-examination, do you remember that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Okay. And this is published. There's one tank 5 standing here on the left. Do you see that? 6 Yes. Α. 7 Do you see another tank anywhere else that has 8 been torn down on this photograph? 9 THE COURT: Why don't you point to the 10 first tank that you were referring to. 11 BY MR. MANGO: 12 Yes. Do you see this tank --13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. -- here standing? 15 Α. Correct. 16 0. Okay. Do you see anything else on this screen 17 with any -- any other tanks? 18 Α. Well, this area right here. 19 Okay. In the background there? Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. Lauren, if we could just focus in on Q. 22 this, please. 23 Is that -- this area in the back, this is --24 seems to be a torn-down tank, is that correct?

MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I object to

the leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Okay. Mr. Dahl, what is that that's in the background?
- A. As far as I can tell it's either part of one of the tanks that was torn down, or it was the scrap that was torn away and just left there.
- Q. Okay. During the fire where did you make your observations of coal tar -- 10 to 15 tons of coal tar coming off of the tanks?
- A. It would have been the first tank as the road splits, closest to the road, yes.
 - Q. Okay. So as the road -- let me ask you this way. If you're standing on a road and you're looking north --
- A. You'd actually -- in our plant with our directions, you would be looking northeast.
- Q. Okay. Northeast. So it would be the tank towards the right if you're standing and looking north, northeast?
- A. You have two roads. The tanks are split by road. There's two roads, one on each side of the tanks. It's kind of like a triangle, and the one road comes on the thaw shed side of our plant. The

1 other road comes down what we call Jamoke Road, and 2 we would be on Jamoke Road looking northeast. 3 Q. And I believe you testified that the -- after 4 the fire, the tar on the ground stayed in that area 5 for a while until you saw Mr. Rogers excavating the material? 6 7 A. Right. I don't recollect anything being moved 8 until they actually started with the excavator. 9 MR. MANGO: If I could just have one 10 moment, please? 11 THE COURT: Certainly, Mr. Mango. 12 MR. MANGO: I think we're all set, your 13 Thank you. Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any recross, 15 Mr. Linsin? 16 MR. LINSIN: Nothing. Thank you, your 17 Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Personius? 18 19 MR. PERSONIUS: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Dahl, you're 21 excused. Thank you very much. We appreciate it 22 have a good day. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I think we're at the

point where Mr. Corbett is available for resumption

1 of cross-examination. 2 MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. If you could do that 4 and make him available, please. 5 Good afternoon, Mr. Corbett. You ready to assume your position? You remain under oath, and 6 7 we are going to continue with cross-examination by 8 Attorney Greg Linsin. Okay? 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor. May I 11 proceed? 12 THE COURT: Yes, you may. Thank you. CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN: 13 14 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Corbett. 15 A. Good afternoon. 16 Q. When we broke with your testimony earlier 17 today, sir, I recall we were -- you were testifying 18 about these Barrett tanks that existed on the 19 Tonawanda Coke property. 20 Do you recall that? 21 A. Yes. 22 THE COURT: Can we just spell "Barrett"? 23 Because it sounds different at times, and I'm just 24 not sure what the exact spelling is.

25

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Do you know the spelling, sir?
- A. I think it's B-A-R-R-E-T-T.

MR. LINSIN: I believe that to be correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: You defer to that, all right. Thank you, Mr. Corbett.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

BY MR. LINSIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. And just for point of clarification, do you know where that name came from?
- A. All I know is that's what Mr. Kamholz referred to them as, that historical name.
 - Q. Historical name for a prior user of one of these tanks, is that what you recall?
 - A. I don't recall that --
- 16 Q. All right.
 - A. -- detail.
 - Q. All right. But that actually gets us to the point of departure in your earlier testimony. I believe I had asked you a question about the material that was inside of these Barrett tanks.
- 22 Do you recall that?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. And I think I had asked you -- first of all, talking about the material that was inside of those

Barrett tanks, was it your understanding back at the time of this inspection on June 17th, 2009, was it your understanding that the material inside of those Barrett tanks had been abandoned in those tanks by a prior owner of the facility?

- A. That's what Mr. Kamholz told us.
- Q. All right. And where we actually broke with your testimony was that I think I had also asked you if you understood that some of the material that had been inside those tanks had actually seeped out onto the ground in the area around the tanks before Tonawanda Coke ever took over this facility.

Did you know that?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. All right. Would that fact change your understanding of the dynamics of this RCRA inspection?
- A. No.

Q. All right. So you're comfortable understanding and assuming for the rest of your testimony that some of the material that had been in those tanks had leaked out on to the ground around the tanks before Tonawanda ever took over the plant, correct?

Are you comfortable assuming that as a fact?

- A. I'm comfortable with that.
- Q. All right. Okay. Now, may we please have,

 Sheila, Defendant's Exhibit HHHH for -- I

 apologize. Is that already in? Yes, I believe

 this is already in evidence. And I wish this was a

 little darker, but, Sheila, could you enlarge the

 bordered portion of this? Thank you.

All right. Now, take a minute, Mr. Corbett, if you wish, and orient yourself to this. But do you recognize this as a portion of the diagram you made reference to earlier in your testimony?

A. Yes.

- Q. All right. So I believe you had testified that the group you were with, yourself and Mr. Grossman and --
- A. Ellen Banner.
- Q. Thank you very much.
- -- Ms. Banner had gone to the by-products area at the plant initially, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And you looked at the decanter tank tar bin there?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you talked about quantities of K087 that was generated, is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you moved, then, from that location as a group over to the Barrett tanks, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And would you just tap the screen and indicate where again those Barrett tanks are?

All right. Now, why did you go to the Barrett tanks?

- A. I think I stated earlier we had information that there was a fire, and that there looked to be releases from -- as a result of that fire and cutting down of the structure of the tanks that we wanted to look at.
- Q. All right. In your mind, as an RCRA inspector, an RCRA regulator, did you understand there would be a difference in terms of the regulatory status of this material if you were dealing with material that had been abandoned in place before RCRA was even enacted?
- A. I would have -- I would have known that distinction had I known about these prior releases you are talking about.
- Q. I'm sorry. I'm not sure I understand your answer.
 - A. You prefaced the whole discussion with there

were historical releases from the tanks. I said I was unaware of that.

Q. All right.

- A. I said had I known that there were historical releases, I probably would have known that there would be some distinction between that and a release that happened the same day.
- Q. Okay. So, if I understand that answer correctly, is it correct that under RCRA, materials are covered differently, and there is a different regulatory status for materials that had been abandoned before RCRA was enacted as opposed to wastes that are generated after RCRA was enacted, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. But at the time you were out there, in June of 2009, you were not aware that some of that material had actually leaked out prior to Tonawanda's taking over this plant, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. Now, I believe you testified -- I may be mistaken -- that you were in this area around the tanks about an hour or so, is that correct?
 - A. That's my estimation.

- Q. All right. And did you ask Mr. Kamholz what the plans were with regard to these tanks, what was going to be done?
- A. I testified earlier that he stated that they had planned to recycle the material --
- Q. All right.
- A. -- as K087.
- Q. All right. To recycle it as K087, the material that was inside the tanks, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.

- Q. And was it from that -- after you left the area of the Barrett tanks, is it then that you went up to this area of the concrete pad?
- 14 | A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Would you tap that portion of the screen, please?
 - Did you have a discussion with Mr. Kamholz about the use of that pad and what its purpose was?

 A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did he explain to you?
 - A. He explained that K087 had been recycled on this pad in the past, but that they were now recycling it in the coal field.
 - Q. All right. Did you talk with Mr. Kamholz about when that pad had been installed at the plant?

- A. I believe there was -- it was -- there was a question at least asked along that line.
- Q. And does it fit with your recollection that this pad was installed here in the coal field at Tonawanda Coke in 1994?
- A. That's the general time frame, I recall.
- Q. Does it also fit with your memory, Mr. Corbett, that the pad was installed here because certain other materials were going to be brought into the plant from offsite?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor.

Defense is now testifying. Defense counsel is now testifying as to statements or evidence -- of evidence that's not -- of facts that are not in evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Want to reput the question? I don't think it was complete.

MR. LINSIN: All right. Let me try it this way.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Were you told what the purpose was for this pad being constructed?
- A. Well, Mr. Kamholz said that K087 had been recycled on this pad in the past.
 - Q. And do you recall any discussion with

- Mr. Kamholz at that inspection regarding offsite
 material being brought to Tonawanda Coke?
 - A. Yes, but it wasn't in reference to that pad.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Now, was it at this time that Mr. Kamholz talked to you about where the K087 recycling was occurring?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. All right. And if I recall, you testified earlier that he pointed out a location just to the west of this concrete pad where there was some coal piles, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And did he explain to you how the recycling -how the mixing of the coal tar sludge was done in
 that location?
- 16 A. I don't recall if we talked about exactly how
 17 it was done. But I mean, he pointed out the area
 18 where it was done.
- Q. Okay. And that area included a coal pile, correct?
- 21 A. It was a flat surface.
- Q. The area of the coal field around here, what is that surface?
- 24 A. What is that surface?
- Q. Yes. What is it made of?

A. It's -- it's the ground.

- Q. Is that your recollection?
- A. Well, I mean, if I don't see a coal pile, I assume that a flat surface is the surface of the earth.
- Q. Did you happen to notice that the entire surface of the coal field at Tonawanda Coke at the time of this RCRA compliance inspection that you conducted was actually coal?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection. Again, your Honor, these are not facts in evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer that question.

THE WITNESS: Well, the fact is that every place on the facility has a black sheen to it.

It -- there is some amount of coal dust everywhere on the site.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. My question, Mr. Corbett, is, whether or not you noticed during your June 16th, 2009, RCRA compliance inspection, whether you noticed during that inspection that the entire surface of the coal field was covered in coal.
- A. When you say "coal" -- a coal pile to me is a pile of coal. If I'm on a flat surface, if you

- walk me out on a flat surface, to me, I'm on the ground.
 - Q. Okay. Let's try it this way. Did you observe coal piles in the coal field during this --
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. I'm sorry. Did you observe coal piles in the coal fields at Tonawanda Coke during the June 2009 inspection?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And you were actually driving in this area in a vehicle with your colleagues and Mr. Kamholz, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, the area on which you were driving, did you notice what that was composed of? The roadway on which the vehicle was moving, did you notice what that was?
 - A. Like I said, the entire surface area of the entire site has a black dusty look to it. It could be a combination of gravel, coal, dirt, you name it.
- Q. I'm asking what you determined it to be. Do you know?
- A. Again, I'm on a flat surface. I'm assuming it's the ground.

- Q. So you assumed it was the ground?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

Q. Would it surprise you to learn that the coal in the coal field at Tonawanda Coke, the surface throughout that coal field, consists of 1 to 3 or 4 feet of coal?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection. Again, your Honor, this is not facts in evidence.

THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.

- BY MR. LINSIN:
- Q. Did you do anything to inspect that surface of the coal field during your RCRA compliance inspection?
- 14 | A. No.
- Q. But your recollection is clear, that during
 this June 2009 RCRA compliance inspection,

 Mr. Kamholz told you that they were recycling their
 - A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. -- correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And isn't it true, Mr. Corbett, that what
- 23 Mr. Kamholz told you actually during that

K087 waste in the coal field --

inspection was that the coal tar was placed on the coal piles? Isn't that what he said to you?

- A. I don't recall that.
- Q. All right. May I please have for identification Government's Exhibit 3509.05?

Do you see a yellow sticker up here, sir, Government Exhibit 3509.05?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Okay. And do you recall being interviewed on October 21st, 2009, by Special Agent Brian Kelly, regarding your inspection at the Tonawanda Coke facility?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. All right. May we please go to page 2 of this exhibit. And enlarge this paragraph, please? I'm sorry. Could we have the prior paragraph?

I'm going to ask you to read this paragraph first and then the second paragraph on this page,
Mr. Corbett. Read it silently to yourself, please.

I apologize. I apologize. Is this 3509.05? Yes. All right. Can we just enlarge those two paragraphs, please, on the second page of this exhibit.

- A. Would you like me to read both of these paragraphs?
- Q. Just read them to yourself, and then I have a question to ask you after you read them. Just let

me know when you're finished reading them.

A. Okay.

- Q. All right. Can we take this down, please?

 Do you now remember, Mr. Corbett, what you told the investigators in October of 2009 concerning what Mr. Kamholz told you about where this K087 was being recycled?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What did you tell them?
- A. I told them it was being done on the ground in the coal field area.
 - Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Corbett, isn't it true that on October 21st, 2009, you told the criminal investigators that Mr. Kamholz had told you four months previous that coal tar from the tanks was being put on the coal piles prior to baking the coal to make coke?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. We need -- there is a clarification needed. That paragraph that was read referred to the September inspection, not the June 1, and now he's asking about the June 1, as if those statements were made in June.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's get a time frame clarification.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Is that your recollection, Mr. Corbett, that in June Mr. Kamholz told you just generally that the recycling was occurring on the coal fields, and in September, when you went back, he said it was being recycled on the piles?
- A. Well, the clarification is it's being spread on coal in the coal field.
- Q. All right. But the question I was asking you, and it's a narrow one, did Mr. Kamholz tell you that the recycling was occurring on the coal piles?
- A. Coal pile located in the coal field.
 - Q. Fair enough. Coal piles in the coal field, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. Now, this is information you had during the June 17th, 2009, RCRA compliance inspection, correct? Where the recycling was occurring, you knew that, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, based on your years of experience, and at least your views of this recycling operation that was being described, did you at that time believe that the recycling process that Mr. Kamholz described was in compliance with the RCRA

regulation?

A. No, I did not believe it was in compliance.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What did you --

THE WITNESS: No, I didn't believe it was

in compliance.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. And it was your practice, over the many years you've been working as a RCRA inspector, wasn't it, if you detected a violation of the RCRA regulations, it was your practice to inform the regulated facility if you had observed what you believe to be a violation, correct?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection. Again, your Honor, no foundation for what his practice was. He hasn't testified as to what his practice was.

THE COURT: There's nothing wrong with that question. I'll allow it.

Overruled.

You may answer, was it your practice?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it was my practice to inform the regulated entity if they were not in compliance.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. All right. And on June 17th, 2009, did you tell Mr. Kamholz that you believed that this

recycling process that he described to you was not compliant with RCRA?

A. No, I did not.

- Q. And was that because Mr. Grossman told you not to?
- A. He asked me not to -- not to bring it up because we needed to gather more -- more information to be clear about the violations.
- Q. Well, you just testified that, in your view, right then, you believed that the recycling process Mr. Kamholz described was in violation of the RCRA regulations, correct?
- A. I have more experience than Mr. Grossman on those -- on this subject matter.
 - Q. I know. And based on that depth of experience, it was at least your view on June 17th, 2009, that the recycling operation Mr. Kamholz described was not in compliance with the regulations, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And so you didn't need to gather any additional information, did you?
- A. I was part of the team, and we collectively had
 to come to an agreement on the facts. If I were
 operating on -- on my own, if I was a lone
 inspector, I would have certainly told Mr. Kamholz

- that he was not in compliance.
- Q. Mr. Corbett, did this situation you found yourself in make you uncomfortable?
- A. No. Because -- because, as I say, it was more than just me that needed to feel comfortable that we had enough information to go forward.
- Q. Did you feel like somebody was being set up?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. But you decided to leave the facility that day not advising Mr. Kamholz or anybody else at the company that you thought there was a violation of the RCRA regs, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And as a matter of fact, while you were there in June, Mr. Kamholz told you that they planned they planned to recycle some of that some of that K087 from the tanks, they planned to recycle that material into the battery, didn't he?
- A. Yes, he did. He did say they had planned on handling that material.
- Q. And -- not handling, recycling it into the battery, correct?
- 23 A. Correct, yes.
- Q. And he told you how they were doing the recycling, correct?

- A. Well, he told me how they were doing the recycling of their normal K087.
- Q. And he didn't say they were going to handle the material from inside the Barrett tanks any differently, did he?
- A. No, he didn't.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Did you caution him that if they handled the material from inside the Barrett tanks in the same way he has just described the decanter tar sludge was being handled, that he would be not compliant with the RCRA regulations?
- A. Again, we -- we hadn't -- we didn't know for sure if the material in the Barrett tanks was even K087 at that point in time.
- Q. You testified yesterday that Mr. Kamholz told you it was.
- 17 A. Yes, he did. But he -- but obviously we needed to -- to verify it for ourselves.
- 19 Q. Mr. Corbett, did you caution Mr. Kamholz or 20 anyone else --
 - A. No, I did not.
- 22 Q. Please let me finish the question.
- Did you, on June the 17th, 2009, caution

 Mr. Kamholz or anyone else at Tonawanda Coke that

 if they recycled the material from inside the

Barrett tanks in the same way they were recycling the decanter tank tar sludge, that it could be considered a violation of RCRA?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Now, you went back to your office and had further conversations with Mr. Strickland and Mr. Grossman and a number of other individuals, correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

- Q. How long after June -- the June 17th inspection did those discussions occur?
- 12 A. I can't recall the exact time frame.
- Q. All right. Do you recall talking to your boss,

 Jim Strickland the same day of this inspection?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And do you recall telling him, Mr. Strickland,
 that based on your inspection that you conducted
 that day, that there appear to be violations
 related to the coal tar recycling and introduction
 of spent solvents from the lab into the coking
 process? Did you tell him that?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And that there was also tar -- coal tar around these old tanks, correct?
 - A. Around the outside of the tanks --

Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- A. -- yes.
- Q. Did Mr. Strickland instruct you to inform

 Tonawanda Coke or Mark Kamholz that this conduct

 was viewed as noncompliant with RCRA?
- A. No. He said we needed to consult with EPA to -- to figure out what the next step should be.
 - Q. Did Mr. Strickland have a different view of these regulations than you did?
- A. I don't know. You'd have to ask him.
- Q. Did he tell you he disagreed with you, sir?
- 12 A. No, he didn't.
- 13 Q. There's one RCRA statute, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Who made the decision to go back to Tonawanda

 Coke three months later to collect samples?
- 17 A. The EPA made the decision.
- Q. And what was the purpose of that follow-up visit, other than to collect samples?
- 20 A. That was pretty much -- that was the main purpose in going to the facility.
- Q. Did you tour the coal fields during this fourth inspection you conducted at Tonawanda Coke on September 10th, 2009?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Did you observe the recycling process on that date?
 - A. I did not physically see the recycling process in operation in any of the inspections.
 - Q. Did you inspect what the consistency of the coal field was that you were driving on and walking on?
 - A. Again, it was identical to the previous time I was there.
- Q. My question is, did you inspect it?
- 11 A. For what?
- 12 Q. To determine what it was made of.
- 13 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. All right. You observed that some of the material inside the tanks had actually been removed, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, I did observe that.
- Q. And just as with the June inspection, when asked about it, Mr. Kamholz explained to you where they had recycled that material from inside the tank, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. On coal piles, correct?
- 24 A. In the coal fields.
- 25 Q. Yes, on coal piles?

A. In the coal fields, yes.

- Q. How long was the inspection on September 10th of 2009?
- A. I think it lasted all day because the samplers have strict protocol they have to follow, and it takes them quite a bit of time to do everything properly.
- Q. And I believe you testified yesterday that when you returned for this second visit and Mr. Kamholz told you how they were recycling this K087, you again said nothing, is that correct?
- A. Correct. Mr. Kamholz asked me, you know, what's this all about? Why are you here? And I said it's -- it's related to how you are physically recycling K087.
- Q. Did you tell Mr. Kamholz that you believed that the recycling operation that you observed in -- that you were informed about in June, and then informed about again in September was a violation of the RCRA regulation?
- A. No.
- Q. Now, at the conclusion of your testimony
 yesterday you made some reference to -- I believe
 you said a guidance that states that the recycling
 of K087 cannot be done on the ground, is that

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, are you referring to the regulation, the RCRA regulation that governs this activity?
- A. No. It's a guidance document that explains how the recycling exemption is applied for K087 wastes.
 - Q. Do you have a citation for that guidance document?
- A. I don't have it with me, no.
 - Q. Is it an EPA guidance document?
- 11 A. I'm sure there is.
- Q. I'm not asking whether there is an EPA guidance document. The guidance document you're testifying about, is that guidance document an EPA guidance
- 15 document?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Do you know when it was published?
- 18 A. Not sitting here with resources in front of me.
- 19 I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Are you familiar with the RCRA regulations that governs the exemption for K087 coal tar sludge?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you know what it says?
- 24 A. Not literally.
- 25 Q. Not literally?

A. No.

- Q. Are you aware -- are you aware that that regulation exempts K087 wastes from the definition of solid wastes as long as certain conditions are followed? Are you aware of that?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And those conditions include -- I'm sorry, the exclusion includes --

THE COURT: Well, put that again, please. BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. All right. Is it consistent with your understanding of that RCRA regulation that the exclusion for K087 waste is conditioned on there being no land disposal of the wastes from the point they are generated to the point they are recycled to the coke ovens for recovery or refining process?
- A. I'm familiar with that.
- Q. All right. And so the condition is that there be no land disposal, correct?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. And your judgment was that what you heard from Mark Kamholz in this June RCRA compliance inspection of 2009, you believe that to be land disposal that voided this exclusion, is that correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

- Q. And yet you made a decision, as a part of this team, that you were not going to inform Mr. Kamholz of your views about these regulations, correct?
- A. Correct.

MR. LINSIN: I have nothing further, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Linsin, thank you.

Mr. Personius any recross -- or cross?

MR. PERSONIUS: First time, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Corbett.
- A. Good afternoon.
- 15 | Q. We have met before. You don't remember it?
- 16 | A. No, I don't.
- 17 Q. We met earlier today.
- 18 A. Oh, I recall that.
- 19 Q. All right. Again, I'm Rod Personius. I
- 20 represent Mark Kamholz. You indicated to
- 21 Mr. Linsin that there had been a disclosure by
 22 Tonawanda Coke back in the late '80s regarding its
 23 generation of hazardous waste.
- 24 Do you remember that?
 - A. Are you talking about a notification to EPA --

Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

22

23

24

- -- that they generate hazardous waste?
- Yes. Q.
 - I acknowledged that -- that -- the fact that they have an EPA ID number, that they had to have made that notification.
 - Q. Okay. If we could do this, this is for identification. Sheila, could we please have Defense Exhibit DDDD.02.
 - What is on the screen -- did you need a glass of water first?
- 12 Α. No, I'm fine.
- 13 What is on the screen is Defense Exhibit for 14 identification DDDD.02.
- 15 Do you recognize that document?
- 16 Well, I don't think I've seen it before.
- 17 Okay. Take a minute and look at it and then --Q. 18 you've looked at the Tonawanda Coke hazardous waste 19 file at the DEC before?
- A. Yes, I have. I don't know if this document is 21 in it or not.
 - Q. I want to make sure you look at it and let us know if it's familiar to you, if you've seen it before. If you haven't, fine.
 - I don't believe I've seen it before.

Q. Okay. Could we put on the screen, Sheila, please, for identification Defense Exhibit DDDD.01?

And now we have on the screen this exhibit.

My question is the same, Mr. Corbett, if you've seen this before.

- A. I don't believe I've seen this document before.
- Q. And that would mean also that you didn't see this in the DEC hazardous waste file for Tonawanda Coke?
- A. I don't recall seeing it.
- Q. Okay. All right. Could you take that down, please?
 - Mr. Corbett, you have testified, if I recall correctly, that you've been an inspector on the hazardous waste side with DEC for, or you were, for about 25 years?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
 - A. Approximately.
- 20 | Q. And retired a couple of years ago?
- 21 A. Yes, a couple years ago.
- 22 Q. If I -- if I understand correctly from your
 23 testimony, in your interactions with Tonawanda Coke
 24 that you testified about, that seem to back
 25 to 2007 -- do I have that right?

- A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. All right. You never took a single note?
- 3 Well, let me ask it a different way. For your
- 4 interactions with Tonawanda coke going back
- 5 to 2007, that you've testified in this courtroom
- 6 about --

- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- did you ever take notes?
- 9 A. Yes. I took -- you mean written notes while I
- 10 was at the facility?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. No.
- 13 | O. Never took a note?
- 14 A. Never took a note.
- 15 \parallel Q. Is that always your practice -- was that always
- 16 your practice, as an inspector, not to take notes?
- 17 A. Well, I mean, if I needed -- if I needed to
- 18 hone in on a specific issue where it was numbers or
- 19 data, then I would -- then I would have to take
- 20 notes, yes.
- 21 Q. Otherwise, you never took notes?
- 22 A. Well, I can remember the pertinent facts that I
- 23 needed to remember.
- 24 Q. All right. And would you then make some
- 25 memorialization about what you learned during an

- inspection with a facility after you left the facility?
 - A. Yes, usually.
 - Q. Go ahead.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

- A. Usually right afterward.
- Q. What would you do?
- A. Go back to the office and start writing the inspection report.
- Q. Beyond what you put in the inspection report, would there be any other written memorialization about your contact with the facility?
- A. Only if I were asked to do so by my superiors.
- Q. All right. And if you had a conversation at a facility during the course of -- whether it's an inspection or a visit that you had with a representative of the facility, would you ever memorialize those conversations?
 - A. Only if I were asked to.
 - Q. Was this the way you were trained, not to have a lot of paper in the file?
 - A. I don't know what you're getting at.
- 22 Q. Let me ask you a different way, if I can.
- There were other inspectors in the offices with you?
- 25 A. Yes, there were other inspectors.

- Q. Was it your experience they also didn't make any notes of their daily activities?
- A. I don't know how they went about their business.
- Q. When you became an inspector, you received some training about recordkeeping?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And did any of that training you received about recordkeeping address the importance of maintaining a contemporaneous record of contacts with facilities?
- MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. I don't see the relevance of this. He's already testified he did not take notes.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Would you restate the question?

- 18 BY MR. PERSONIUS
 - Q. I'll try to. You were trained when you became an inspector some 25 or so years ago, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I caught you in the middle of a drink. Did the training you received include recordkeeping practices?
 - A. Yes, it did. It included how to fill out the

inspection forms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. Did it go beyond how to fill out the inspection forms?
- A. Well, it taught you how to be an observer.
- Q. Okay. But I'm talking about recordkeeping as opposed to observing. Did your training include recordkeeping that went beyond how to fill out an inspection form?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And did the training include suggestions or recommendations about maintaining a contemporaneous record of contacts with facilities that you would deal with in fulfilling your duties as an inspector?
 - A. That the -- that the training involved that?
 - Q. Yes.
- 17 A. No, it didn't.
 - Q. Okay. Beyond filling out an inspection form, what did your training -- what instruction did you get about recordkeeping other than how to fill out an inspection form?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And is it true that for these contacts you've testified about that you had with Tonawanda Coke and Mark Kamholz, in particular, that there are no

notes? You have no notes of any of those contacts?

- A. I believe there's plenty of information in the file about what occurred at those inspections.
- Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, I'm not being clear in my question. I'm talking specifically about notes.

Is it correct that you prepared --

- A. I already said I did not take notes at these inspections.
- Q. Okay. So there's no notes?
- A. There's no notes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you told, I think it was
- Mr. Linsin, that before you went to Tonawanda Coke
 on June 17th of 2009, that you had received some
 information from your supervisor, Mr. Strickland,
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- Q. And -- and the information you received from

 Mr. Strickland, you understood came to him through
- 21 Cheryl Webster?
- 22 A. And her boss, Larry Sitzman.

regarding Tonawanda Coke?

Q. And Larry Sitzman. All right. And the information had to do with -- well, you tell me again. What did -- what topic did that information

relate to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. It related to the K087 waste.
 - Q. All right. And --
 - A. And it's recycling.
 - Q. And did you receive any information -- how specific was the information? Did it indicate how the K087 was being recycled?
- A. No, it just -- it was that there was uncertainty as to whether or not the K087 was being recycled properly.
 - Q. I see, okay. So you at least knew that much?
- 12 A. I knew that much.

uncertainty was?

- Q. That's what you were told by Mr. Strickland, was that there was uncertainty?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. The source of their information was a fellow inspector on the airside, Cheryl Webster?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you then contact Cheryl Webster to get more detail from her about what this
- 22 A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And do you remember what she told you?
- 25 A. She -- she said that it was her understanding

- that the recycling was happening in the coal fields.
 - Q. Okay. So before you even went out there, you had that information already from Cheryl Webster?
 - A. Well, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And was it your understanding that she had obtained that information from Mr. Kamholz during an inspection that took place at Tonawanda Coke in April of 2009?
- 10 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

19

- Q. All right. And did Ms. Webster tell you anything more than what you've told us, that it related to the coal tar sludge being put on -- on the coal in the coal field, or was that all they told you?
 - A. That's right.
- 17 Q. That's what she told you?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. And did that immediately raise question with you as to whether or not that was proper?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you discuss that information you received from Ms. Webster with anyone at DEC before you went out on June 17th of 2009?
- 25 A. With Jim Strickland.

- Q. All right. And did he concur that that in your mind posed a problem?
 - A. He said it could potentially be a problem, yes.
- Q. So he wasn't as convinced as you were that it was a problem?
- A. Well, he just wanted me to go confirm that information.
- Q. Okay. So, he told you when you went out on June 17th, 2009, get more detail about exactly what Tonawanda Coke is doing with the coal tar sludge and the coal, right?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

- Q. All right. Did you do that when you went out on June 17th of 2009? In other words, did you get more detail about exactly how the recycling was occurring?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. I must have missed that. I'm sorry. What detail did you get? Did you get the detail from Mr. Kamholz?
 - A. Yes, we got the details from Mr. Kamholz directly.
- Q. And what did he tell you again? I'm sorry. I
 must have missed this. What did he tell you on
 June 17th, 2009, regarding the recycling?

- A. Well, he said that the recycling had been occurring on the pad, and that it was now occurring in the coal fields.
- Q. All right. And then you followed up and said well, you know, give me some more detail about what you're doing, right, with the coal fields?
- A. Well, we asked how the material was physically brought out there. So we were at the decanter. We saw a front end loader. He said it was put in a front end loader. Transported via front end loader to the coal piles in the coal field.
- Q. I see. He told you all of this on June 17th of '09?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- Q. And that the front end loader would go to the coal fields and would take the coal tar sludge and dump it into the side of a coal pile?
- A. He said it was mixed with coal in the coal field.
- Q. Did you ask him for more detail about how that was done?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Did you ask to go out in the coal field and see this being done?
 - A. It wasn't being -- that operation wasn't

- occurring the day we were there. There was no tar in the decanter when we were there.
- Q. I see. All right. Had it been -- when

 Mr. Kamholz makes this disclosure, had it already

 been decided with Mr. Grossman from the EPA and

 Ms. Banner from the EPA, that you were not going to

 let Mr. Kamholz know that you thought this was

 improper? Was that decided ahead of time?
 - A. No, it wasn't.
 - Q. Had you discussed ahead of time with the two individuals from EPA, Grossman and Banner, what you had found out from Cheryl Webster?
- 13 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. And what was -- did Mr. Grossman respond to that?
 - A. Respond to what?
- Q. When you told him that Mr. Kamholz, Tonawanda
 Coke, Mr. Kamholz was causing the coal tar sludge
 at Tonawanda Coke to go out and be mixed with the
 coal in the coal fields. You told that to
 - A. Yes.

Mr. Grossman?

- Q. And did he respond when you told him that?
- A. He said he wanted to -- he needed to get more information to figure out if that was, indeed, what

- was going on. That's why he was there.
- Q. All right. But what more information -- did he
- 3 tell you what more information he needed to get?
 - A. No. He needed to gather information, is what
- 5 he --

1

4

- Q. He didn't tell you what it was?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And you didn't ask?
- 9 A. No. I just met him the same day. He came
- 10 in -- I hadn't talked to him prior to him coming in
- 11 | to do this inspection.
- 12 Q. All right. All right. And had not talked to
- 13 him ahead of time?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. So your disclosure to him about what you found
- 16 out from Ms. Webster --
- 17 A. Happened on the day of.
- 18 \square Q. The day of?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Being June 17th, 2009?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sure he was informed by his boss who was in
- 24 contact with my boss.
- 25 Q. Who was his boss?

A. Phil Flax.

- Q. And you're sure that Grossman already knew?
- A. I'm not sure, but I would assume so.
- Q. When you told Mr. Grossman what you knew that you had found out from Ms. Webster, did he act surprised, or did he indicate to you he already knew?
- A. I don't recall that.
- Q. Did you and Mr. Grossman have a discussion -by the way -- oh, let's finish this. Did you and
 Mr. Grossman have a discussion before you went out
 on June 17th of 2009 about whether what was being
 done was proper or improper?
- A. I think we discussed it on the way to the facility.
- Q. All right. And were you both in agreement as to whether it was proper or improper?
- A. I don't think he had formulated an opinion yet.
 - Q. I see. You've indicated he has or had at the time, probably still does, less experience with RCRA than you?
- A. I'm not sure about that. I'm not certain of
 that. But, you know, me being that much older than
 he is, I would assume that I have a little bit more
 experience.

- Q. Do you know how much experience Mr. Grossman --
- A. No.

- Q. -- had?
- A. I don't know that.
- Q. You told us a lot about the inspection. I'm not going to go back through all of what happened on June the 17th. But one thing I am interested in, you -- it's clear now you knew that the recycling practice at Tonawanda Coke as it related to the -- what we call the decanter tar sludge involved using a front end loader and taking that tar sludge out and mixing it with the coal in the coal field. You knew that?
- 14 A. I did know that.
 - Q. And you knew that Mr. Kamholz had indicated to you that there was, what he understood to be K087, inside one or more of these abandoned tanks that he was going to also recycle, fair?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You knew that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And Mr. Linsin asked you did you caution Mr. Kamholz that it was, at least your view, that that was improper, and you said no, I did not, right?

Right. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

23

24

- And what I understand your explanation to be as to why you didn't is because you weren't sure if it was K087?
- The collective group of the inspectors, including myself, Ellen Banner, and Lenny Grossman -- I was in a supportive role. Lenny was the lead inspector. He was the one gathering most of the information during the inspection, and I was there to support him.
- All right. But again, you didn't know until you got there that Mr. Kamholz would tell you that there was what he thought was K087 in these tanks that he intended to have recycled. You didn't know that before you got there, right?
- Α. No, we didn't.
- 17 So that comes up when you're there. 18 question is, again, then why did you not 19 immediately say whoa, whoa, if you're going to recycle that the same way you're recycling the 21 decanter tar sludge, don't do it? Why didn't you 22 tell him that?
 - A. Because I was not -- I was not the lead inspector. I was trying to help Lenny with the inspection. He was gathering information.

- didn't feel as if he had enough information yet.
- Q. But you're a DEC hazardous waste inspector.
- You were at the time, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you're being told that activities are planned, which in your mined, I thought, would be contrary to the RCRA statute, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And I guess what you're telling us is you exercised your discretion to not do anything in deference to the EPA. Is that really what you're telling us?
- A. It was -- it was in deference to gathering enough information for us to be confident that we were making the right decisions.
- Q. Okay. But when you're -- making what right decision? What decision was it that you were planning on making?
- A. With -- going further with an enforcement action.
- Q. Okay. And at that point -- let me be very pointed about this. At that point in time, had there been any discussion -- I mean in June of 2009. Had there been any discussion about the possibility that this might be handled criminally,

1 rather than civilly, to your knowledge? 2 Not to my knowledge. 3 Q. All right. But if you got Mr. Kamholz telling 4 you it's K087, you know what he did with the 5 Tonawanda Coke decanter sludge, how would telling 6 Mr. Kamholz don't do the same thing with the K087 7 or what you think is K087 in that tank, don't do 8 that because I think it's wrong? 9 MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection. It's already 10 been asked and answered several times. 11 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. 12 Next question. 13 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 14 Did you discuss with Mr. Grossman and 15 Ms. Banner whether or not to make that statement to 16 Mr. Kamholz while you were at the site on 17 June 19th? 18 MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection again, your 19 Honor. This also has been asked and answered 20 several times. 21 MR. PERSONIUS: I don't believe it has, 22 Judge. 23 MR. PIAGGIONE: He's already testified 24 about discussions with them, about what to say.

THE COURT: Well, I think you should go

on, Mr. Personius.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. After you left on June 19th of 2009, did you have further discussions with Mr. Grossman before you went back again in September, on September 10th?
- A. I believe we had a telephone conference with my boss and his boss.
- Q. Okay. So that would be Mr. Strickland, Mr. Flax, Mr. Grossman and you?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

19

20

21

- 12 Q. Was anybody else part of that call?
- A. I can't recall, but I think at least that many people were involved in a telephone conversation.
 - Q. Was there just one call?
 - A. I'm not -- I'm not certain.
- Q. Okay. I only ask because I thought on direct you said there was conference calls, more than one.
 - A. I know there were more than one conference calls, but I don't know the exact time frame of all of them.
- Q. The subject matter of the call, at least the one call, was what steps should be taken next?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And that's when it was decided to go back and

get samples?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Correct.
- Q. Was there discussion during this call about whether what you knew about the Tonawanda Coke recycling of its decanter tar sludge was proper or improper?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. All right. Was there a consensus?
- 9 A. I don't know if there was a total consensus at that point in time.
 - Q. All right. What was the reason that it took three months, about three months, to get out to Tonawanda Coke to get these samples?
 - A. Well, I wasn't leading that effort, so I don't know what time frames it takes to mobilize sampling teams.
 - Q. Okay. During that period of time, was any effort made by you to determine if anything had been done with the material that was inside the abandoned tanks at Tonawanda Coke?
 - A. By me personally, no.
- 22 Q. Do you know if anyone else looked into that?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And when you went out in September of 2009, samples were taken, right?

- 1 Yes, samples were taken. Α. 2 That's when you learned the contents of the --3 the tank or tanks had been recycled in the same way 4 that the -- had been taken out to the coal? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And I think you told us that the --7 any -- any view you had or anybody had about the 8 propriety or impropriety of that was not disclosed 9 to Mr. Kamholz because Mr. Grossman told you not 10 to? 11 MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection. Again, we've 12 gone over this several times. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 14 MR. PERSONIUS: I haven't, Judge, but --15 THE COURT: Ask the question again. 16 MR. PERSONIUS: That's okay. I'll move 17 on. 18 THE COURT: If you say you haven't, but --19 MR. PERSONIUS: I can move on, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. PERSONIUS: I don't need to ask again. 22 I don't need to. 23 BY MR. PERSONIUS:
 - inspections by telephone in August of 2010.

You were interviewed regarding these

24

1 Do you remember that? 2 By telephone August of? 3 August of 2010. Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. It would be about a year later. 6 Α. Okay. 7 And it involved a phone interview -- let me 8 help you. Mr. Mango was involved in the call, 9 another EPA attorney named Kevin Cassidy was 10 involved, Terry Mucha, M-U-C-H-A, from DEC was 11 involved, and an EPA agent named Conway was 12 involved. 13 Okay. Α. 14 Does that --Q. 15 Α. It rings a bell. 16 0. -- refresh your recall? 17 Yes. Α. 18 And you talked about both of these inspections 19 during that call. 20 Do you remember that? 21 Yes, I do. Α. 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Personius, why 23 don't we take 15 and we'll be back. 24 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 25

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Corbett, you can

1 step down. See you in 15 minutes. 2 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. 3 MR. LINSIN: Thank you your Honor. 4 (Short recess was taken.) 5 (Jury seated.) 6 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Please have a 7 Ladies and gentlemen, please have a seat. 8 Okay. The attorneys and parties are back, 9 present. And our jury is here with questions 10 unrelated to the case, but I think we'll start. 11 Roll call waived. 12 Mr. Personius, you have one more area of 13 inquiry you would like to pursue with Mr. Corbett. 14 We're going to let you do that now on 15 cross-examination and work through it. 16 Chris, get your answers ready for the jurors 17 for later on. 18 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Personius. 20 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 21 Mr. Corbett, when we broke I had asked if you 22 recalled an interview that you had with certain 23 government representatives in August of 2010. 24 I do recall having that interview.

All right. And this is the only other topic I

25

0.

want to cover with you. Do you remember that a week later you went to Robert O'Connor who is an investigator with -- with the DEC?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

- Q. Okay. And you discussed a discrete portion of this interaction with Tonawanda Coke with Investigator O'Connor, right? Do you remember what you told him?
- A. I don't. No, not exactly.
- Q. Why don't we do this. For identification,
 Lauren, would you please put Government
 Exhibit 3509.11 on the screen again for
 identification.

Do you see this exhibit on the screen, Mr. Corbett?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. Do you want to take a minute and read it, please and -- to yourself. And let us know when you're done. Are you finished reading it?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Would you take it down, please, Lauren.

Does that refresh your recollection about the discussion you had with Mr. O'Connor?

- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And to be clear, Mr. O'Connor is a DEC
- 3 investigator?

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. That's correct.
- Q. He had not been part of this discussion a week earlier, correct?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. What was your reason for going to see

 Mr. O'Connor?
- A. Just to clarify a point about me not discussing a violation with K087 mixing on the ground with Mr.

 Kamholz.
 - Q. And why did you choose to talk to Mr. O'Connor about that? Was there a particular reason why you went to him?
 - A. Well, I just -- because I don't think it was -- it was in the record that -- that I had -- I had maybe a little bit of a difference of opinion as to how to approach the subject.
 - Q. The difference of opinion being that your view was Mr. Kamholz should have been informed of the violation?
- 23 \blacksquare A. Well, it was my typical practice to do that --
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 \blacksquare A. -- at the exit interviews for inspections.

```
1
      Q. Okay. Thank you.
 2
               MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, may I have
 3
      just a minute?
 4
               THE COURT: Certainty.
 5
               MR. PERSONIUS: Kept my word, right?
 6
          Nothing further, Judge.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Personius.
 8
               MR. PERSONIUS: Thank you, Mr. Corbett.
 9
               MR. PIAGGIONE: May I redirect, your
10
      Honor.
11
               THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Piaggione?
12
               MR. PIAGGIONE: May I redirect, your
13
      Honor?
14
               THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
                                        Thank you.
                                                    Sorry.
15
     REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
16
      Q. Mr. Corbett, good afternoon.
17
      A. Good afternoon.
18
      Q. Based upon your experience working with other
19
      RCRA inspectors, are some more cautious than
20
      others?
21
      A. Yes, indeed.
22
      Q. Do some require samples to be taken and
23
      analyzed before they determine if something is a
24
      hazardous waste?
```

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Okay. And are you aware that the EPA instructs its RCRA inspectors not to determine a violation until it's reviewed by its management?
- A. I'm not familiar with EPA protocol.
- Q. But you know of other New York State RCRA inspectors who would require sampling be taken before they determine a hazardous waste is the material they're looking at?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- MR. PERSONIUS: Judge, I object.
- 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 12 MR. PERSONIUS: It's out. Never mind.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. And as a result of your conversations with Mr. Grossman after the June 17th inspection, did you, in fact, return to take samples of the material in the tanks and around the tanks?
- A. Yes. Yes, we did.
- Q. I want to go over to Exhibit -- Government Exhibit 3.04, which is already in evidence.
- 22 Mr. Corbett, in looking at that photo, do you see evidence of current releases?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. Okay. Would the fact that you had known there

- were historical releases change your conclusion that there was a violation of RCRA occurring?
 - A. No, it would not change my opinion.
 - Q. Okay. Now, I want to go to what's marked for identification purposes only, Defendant's Exhibit K, please.
 - Do you recall this document, sir?
 - A. Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Is that your inspection report for the September 6th, '07, inspection?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. Have you reviewed that inspection form recently?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. Was there any reference to K087 in that document?
- 17 A. I don't believe there was.
- Q. Okay. In fact, you were not there on

 September 6th, '07, to do a full small quantity

 generator inspection, were you?
 - A. No, I was not.
- Q. You were there to respond to a complaint of some sort, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, I was there on a specific mission.
- Q. Okay. And in the course of your conversation

- with Mr. Kamholz, he gave you information, however, that they were recycling their hazardous wastes, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And so your conclusion that Tonawanda Coke

 Corporation was in compliance with RCRA regarding

 its recycling of its hazardous wastes was based

 upon what?
 - A. Was based upon statements from Mr. Kamholz.
- Q. Okay. And did not involve any sort of observations on your part?
- 12 A. No, it did not.
- Q. All right. Is that unusual for -- in a small quantity generator inspection to rely upon the generator's information?
- 16 A. No, it's -- it's normal course of business.
- Q. Okay. And did you go through a checklist with
- 18 Mr. Kamholz?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And did he say specifically where the K087 waste was being mixed?
- MR. LINSIN: Objection. Asked and answered.
- THE COURT: Well, calls for a yes or no.

 Let's -- I'll let it go and move right through it.

What was your answer? No?

THE WITNESS: I did not answer yet. What was the question again?

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Did Mr. Kamholz specifically designate the location where the KO87 was being mixed?
- A. No, he did not.
- Q. And if he had told you where that location was, would that have changed your conclusion?
- A. Yes, it would.
- Q. Incidentally, did Mr. Kamholz indicate how much decanter tar sludge was being generated at the tar box?
- A. That inspection, I don't think we got into the particulars.
- Q. Okay. Subsequently, in the June inspection,

 June of '09 inspection, did you have a conversation

 with him regarding the amount of tar sludge being

 generated by the decanter?
- A. Lenny Grossman was asking all the questions, but those were definitely pertinent questions that were asked.
- MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I object to this. It goes way beyond the cross.
- 25 THE COURT: In terms of the quantity?

1 MR. PERSONIUS: Quantity of Tonawanda Coke 2 decanter sludge was not covered on cross at all. 3 MR. PIAGGIONE: There was a discussion 4 about small quantity generators, and that's based 5 upon quantity. 6 THE COURT: No. Sustained. 7 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 8 Q. Based upon your conversations with Mr. Kamholz 9 during the June '09 inspection, did you determine 10 if Tonawanda Coke was a small quantity generator or 11 a large quantity generator? 12 MR. PERSONIUS: Again, Judge, same 13 objection. 14 MR. LINSIN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 16 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 17 Q. You testified on cross that you went on the 18 June '09 inspection for a three- or four-hour 19 meeting at Mr. Kamholz's office. During that 20 meeting, did Mr. Kamholz indicate the K087 was 21 being recycled? 22 Α. Yes, he did. 23 Q. Did he indicate at the office meeting the 24 location where that K087 was being recycled?

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, again, I object.

1 This witness has answered this question at least a 2 couple times. 3 THE COURT: I think that's right. I mean, 4 is this new? 5 MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor. They did 6 not discuss the issue of -- at this meeting. They 7 only discussed it once they got out of the meeting. 8 That was the only thing that was brought up on 9 cross. 10 MR. PERSONIUS: I object on relevance, 11 Judge. 12 MR. PIAGGIONE: They talked about when he 13 was advised about the recycling of the hazardous 14 waste. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Ask a question, please. 16 MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. At the meeting in 17 the office with Mr. Kamholz --18 THE COURT: What date? MR. PIAGGIONE: -- in June of '09, during 19 20 the June of '09 inspection, did he indicate the 21 location where the K087 waste was being recycled? 22 THE COURT: Calls for a yes or no. 23 THE WITNESS: No. 24 MR. PIAGGIONE: Could we have Defendant's

Exhibit DDDD, four Ds, already in evidence.

```
THE COURT: There's 4D.01 and 4D.02.
 1
 2
               MR. PIAGGIONE: It's 01. Thank you.
 3
               THE COURT: I'm not sure that's in
 4
      evidence, though. It's not.
 5
               MR. PERSONIUS: I don't think it is,
      Judge. Is 02 in evidence?
 6
 7
               THE COURT: No, it's not in evidence.
 8
               MR. PIAGGIONE: One moment, your Honor.
9
      Excuse me, your Honor. Could I bring it up for
10
      identification purposes?
11
               THE COURT: Yes.
12
     BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
13
         Were you shown that on cross-examination?
14
      Α.
         Yes, I was.
15
      Q. Okay. Is that a permit?
16
               MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, the witness
17
      testified on cross he didn't recognize this
18
      document, hadn't seen this document.
19
               THE COURT: That's right.
20
               MR. LINSIN: I object to further questions
21
      as to the nature of what this document is.
22
               THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
23
     BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
24
      Q. Okay. Mr. Corbett, does RCRA require every
25
      generator to determine if its waste is hazardous or
```

not?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And does the DEC rely on the generator to identify which wastes are hazardous and which are not?
- A. Yes.
- Q. If someone was going to claim an exemption to that definition, does DEC rely upon their initial representations as to whether or not the exclusion applies?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And based on your review of the DEC file, prior to June 17th, 2009, was DEC aware that the K087 waste was mixed in coal piles on the ground?

 A. No.
 - MR. PIAGGIONE: No further questions, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right, Mr. Piaggione.

 19 Thank you.
- Mr. Linsin.
- 21 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN:
 - Q. Mr. Corbett, you were asked on redirect examination if some RCRA inspectors are more cautious than others, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And some RCRA inspectors require samples before they make a final judgment about regulatory status, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. Now, on cross-examination earlier today you testified about the distinction between characteristic hazardous waste and listed hazardous waste, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And you testified that K087 waste is a listed hazardous waste, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. There's no need to do any sampling or analysis of K087, is there?
 - A. In my previous testimony I stated that it was our concern that there might be a hazardous waste mixture in those tanks.
- Q. You're talking now about the material in the tanks, correct?
 - A. In the Barrett tanks, yes.
 - Q. Right. But with respect to the K087 material that was generated on site, the process waste, if you will, there was no need to do any testing or analysis of that material to determine whether it was covered by the RCRA regulation, was there?

- A. There was no need to test that waste.
- Q. The regulations define it as a hazardous waste because of how it is generated, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And that is precisely what Mr. Kamholz told EPA in 1998, correct?
- A. Told EPA in 1998?
- Q. Didn't you testify on cross-examination earlier, sir, that Mr. Kamholz filed a notice with EPA that Tonawanda Coke generated K087 waste on site?
- A. Yes. We talked about that earlier.
- Q. All right. So in 1998 Mr. Kamholz told EPA and received an ID number because he informed them that the facility generated K087 waste, correct?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. I was not permitted to talk at all about that same document just now on redirect. Now he's bringing it up and asking questions about the same document.

MR. LINSIN: Talking about the witness' testimony, not any document.

THE COURT: We're still on the issue of whether $\mbox{K087}$ is listed or not.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. Well, there's no doubt in your mind, right --

- A. There's no doubt in my mind.
- Q. -- K087 is a listed hazardous waste, correct?
- A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And it's the characteristic hazardous waste that require sampling and analysis to determine whether or not the material exceeds certain regulatory parameters, right?
- A. That's correct.
- All right. And it's your testimony here today, Q. sir, if I heard your last response on redirect examination, that despite the RCRA compliance inspection that was completed by Raymond Fisher on February 17th, 1989, and despite the RCRA compliance inspection that was completed by Bob Wozniak on January the 7th, 1997, and despite the RCRA compliance inspection that was completed at Tonawanda Coke on February 29th, 2001, and despite your RCRA compliance inspection conducted on September 6th of 2007, despite those four RCRA compliance inspections, your testimony here today is that neither you nor anyone else in the Bureau of Hazardous Waste Operations understood how this recycling was occurring at Tonawanda Coke. Is that your testimony, sir?
 - A. Yes, it is.

1 MR. LINSIN: I have nothing further, your 2 Thank you. Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Linsin. 4 Mr. Personius? 5 MR. PERSONIUS: I'm fine, Judge. 6 you. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Piaggione? 8 MR. PIAGGIONE: Just one question, your 9 Honor. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 11 Q. Mr. Corbett, did you indicate that when you 12 conducted the small quantity generator inspections 13 it was not unusual to rely upon the information 14 provided to you regarding recycling exemptions? 15 A. That's correct. 16 MR. PIAGGIONE: No further questions. 17 MR. LINSIN: Nothing further, your Honor. 18 Thank you. 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Corbett, 20 you're free to go. Thank you very much. 21 Appreciate it. 22 We have a short witness? 23 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. The 24 government will call John Ohar. 25 J O H N O H A R, having been duly sworn as a

witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: All right. Not off to a good start. Have a seat, please. Good afternoon. Some very brief instructions. I understand that you have a few questions to be asked by Mr. Mango. If you don't understand a question, please don't answer it. Just ask the attorney, or if I ask you a question, ask us to repeat the question. Try to be as concise as you can when you answer. Don't volunteer information. If you can answer a question with a yes or no, try to do that.

If there's an objection, wait until I rule on the objection, and then I will give you further instructions. For example, I'll tell you to complete your answer; wait for another question, et cetera, okay?

Now, we need to talk to the jury. That's your testimony. They have to decide, you know, what to accept or not from your testimony. They want to observe you. And you have to speak at the microphone in a conversational tone. You don't have to be right on top it, but keep your voice up and it will pick you up, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: I think you're going to be all

right. State your full name, spell your last name, please.

THE WITNESS: John Ohar, O-H-A-R.

THE COURT: Your witness, Mr. Mango.

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ohar. How are you?
- A. Good afternoon. Fine.
- Q. Okay. Are you currently employed?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

19

20

21

- Q. Can you tell the jury where you're employed?
- A. I'm employed at Washington Mills in Niagara
 Falls, part of the old Carborundum plant.

employed at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?

- Q. All right. Mr. Ohar, have you ever been
- 16 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Can you tell the jury what time period you were employed there?
 - A. I was employed at the Tonawanda Coke plant from, I believe it was January of '78 until of July of '99.
- Q. And that was -- in '78 is that when Tonawanda
 Coke came into existence?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. So you were there at the beginning?

- A. I was there at the beginning.
- Q. Were you at the site previous to that?
 - A. I was at the site previous. I worked there for Allied Chemical when they had the plant from 1968
- 5 until Tonawanda Coke took it over.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Ohar, what position did you hold from 1978 up until 1999?
 - A. I worked as a plant engineer.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did that involve getting involved in
 10 projects that you were going to be undertaking at
 11 the Tonawanda Coke site?
- 12 A. Yes, it did.

2

3

4

- Q. All right. I'd like to show you what's in
 evidence as Government Exhibit 3.01. It will come
 up on your careen, Mr. Ohar. Do you see that on
 your screen?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Okay. What is that, if you can tell the jury?
- A. That looks like the pad that was built in the coal field to mix the tar sludge on.
- 21 Q. Okay. Was that pad present in 1978?
- 22 A. No, it was not.
- Q. Do you recall the installation date of that pad?
- 25 A. I don't recall the date. Everything blends

- together.
- Q. Okay. Does 1994 sound like it could be
- 3 possible?

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

- 4 A. Could be, yes.
 - MR. PERSONIUS: Object to what's possible,
 Judge.
 - THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let it stand. If you need to examine, you can.
 - BY MR. MANGO:
- Q. Do you recall, Mr. Ohar, the installation of this pad?
- 12 A. I do recall it, yes.
- Q. Okay. Tell the jury please who installed the pad.
- 15 A. An outside contractor installed the pad.
- Q. And following the installation of the pad, did
 you observe anything happen on this pad?
 - A. Yes. The first a batch of coal tar storage was placed on it. Coal tar sludge, excuse me. And a contractor that was working for me mixed it up with a small-track vehicle.
- 22 Q. So the sludge was on the pad?
- 23 \blacksquare A. The sludge was on the pad, yes.
- Q. Did you see it get mixed up -- what did it get mixed with?

- A. It got mixed up with the coal that they would normally use in the coke oven process.
- Q. Okay. How soon after the pad was installed did you see that mixture happen?
- A. I don't remember exactly. It was long enough for the pad to cure. Pretty much immediately afterwards.
- Q. Okay. And after -- so you saw this mixture of coal tar sludge and coal happen on the pad?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. What did you see happen after that mixture was 12 made?
 - A. After it was made, the crane picked it up and transported it into the building for use as a -- for the -- part of the mix.
- Q. Okay. So you saw a crane come and scoop it up?
- 17 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

24

- 18 Q. And where did it -- where did it bring or drop the material?
- A. Well, the crane picked it up and dropped it in
 the hopper that fed on to 7 belt, and it went on to
 lobelt, 15 belt, and up into the building in the
 mix mess.
 - Q. At any time during your observation did you see this tar sludge being mixed make contact with the

1 ground? 2 Α. No. 3 And do you know why this pad was installed? Q. 4 To prevent the tar sludge from making contact Α. 5 with the ground. 6 Q. All right. Do you know if Mark Kamholz was 7 aware of the installation of this pad and the 8 purpose of this pad? 9 A. I'm sure he was aware of it. 10 MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I'll object to 11 the response that he's sure. 12 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 13 Strike the response. BY MR. MANGO: 14 15 Q. Did you see him at any time in the vicinity of 16 this pad while mixing was happening on the pad? 17 A. I don't remember that. Q. Okay. 18 19 MR. MANGO: Nothing else, your Honor. 20 Thank you. 21 THE COURT: You're welcome, Mr. Mango. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. LINSIN: Very brief.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Linsin, please.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN:

24

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ohar.
- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. I believe we met once before, but my name is Greg Linsin. I represent Tonawanda Coke in connection with this proceeding, okay?
- A. Okay.

- Q. As an engineer with Tonawanda Coke in the 1990s were you involved -- were you involved in the decision to install this concrete pad you just testified about?
- A. No, not the decision. The decision would have been made on a corporate level. I would have been involved in the implementation of it.
- Q. All right. So other people on the corporate level made a decision to install the pad. You were involved in implementing its -- or overseeing or monitoring its construction and installation, correct?
 - A. I was not involved in installing the pad, okay.
- Q. Okay.
- A. One of the other engineers did that. I was only involved in mixing the first batch of tar sludge on it.
- Q. Okay. But you testified a moment ago that -
 Mr. Mango, if I jotted this down correctly, asked

- 1 you if you knew why the pad had been installed.
- 2 You testified, if I recall correctly, was to
- 3 prevent coal tar sludge from making contact with
- 4 | the ground. Did I hear you correctly?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. But you've just said you weren't involved in
- 7 the decision to install the pad, correct?
 - A. No, I was not.
- 9 Q. All right. And let me ask you this: Do you
- 10 recall a time just after this pad was corrected --
- 11 | I'm sorry, was constructed when certain quantities
- of coal tar sludge were actually brought to
- 13 Tonawanda Coke's facility from other coking
- 14 | operations, first of all, in Detroit from the
- 15 | Allied facility in Detroit, do you recall that,
- 16 sir?
- 17 \blacksquare A. I recall that the coal tar sludge that was on
- 18 the pad at that time came from somewhere else.
- 19 Where it came from, I don't remember.
- $20 \parallel Q$. So the coal tar sludge that you just testified
- 21 about observed it being mixed and then dropped in
- 22 | the hopper, that had come from a different
- 23 location, is that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. All right. And do you recall that after that

1 first batch of offsite coal tar sludge, do you 2 recall other shipments of offsite coal tar sludge 3 being brought to Tonawanda Coke and placed on the 4 pad? 5 A. I have no direct knowledge of that. After the 6 first batch was mixed, I had no more involvement 7 with it. 8 Q. Okay. 9 A. All right? 10 Q. All right. But that first batch, as best you 11 recall, was from an offsite location brought there 12 and staged on the concrete pad before it could be 13 mixed, is that correct? 14 A. That's correct. 15 MR. LINSIN: I have nothing further. 16 Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Linsin, thank you. 18 MR. PERSONIUS: Nothing, your Honor. 19 Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Mango? 21 MR. MANGO: Nothing, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. You actually have 23 the record for brevity. All right.

25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Congratulations.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Ohar. All right.

Ladies and gentlemen, I hate to do this, but we're going to send you home. All right. Please keep in mind how important this case is to both sides, okay? You're going to be getting everything you need to work towards that unanimous decision, and — so you don't have to do anything else in terms of any independent investigation, any social media, any Internet, no newspaper articles. Don't read anything that has to do with the subject matter of this particular case, and keep your minds open, all right?

We're still in the government's case. It has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. The presumption of innocence attaches to both defendants in this case. Okay. You made it through another day and we're proud of you. All right. So, have a safe trip home.

We have a new Pope for those of you that are interested. Jorge Bergoglio from Argentina.

Seventy-six years old. So, you can put that in the mix of everything you're learning. But it doesn't apply to this case. Remember my instructions, what I say and what the attorneys say, that's not

1 evidence. We'll see you tomorrow at what time? 2 THE JURY: 9:30. 3 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) THE COURT: Thank you, Chris. 4 5 Okay. By my count tomorrow is Thursday. Okay. 6 Are you we still going to wrap up the government's 7 case on Friday? 8 MR. MANGO: It's possible, your Honor. We 9 do have one more RCRA expert who is going to take 10 the stand. Our previous experts have all gone at 11 least a day. It really depends on 12 cross-examination and direct and how -- how that 13 goes. But if not Friday, I would expect Monday, at the latest Tuesday. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Have you disclosed who your RCRA witness is? 16 17 MR. MANGO: Oh, yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. We will --19 MR. LINSIN: We'll discuss with counsel, 20 your Honor, yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. LINSIN: Could we just ask in light of 23 that, your Honor, what the Court's intentions might 24 be with regard to Rule 29 discussions at the close

of the government's case? I'm not familiar with

the Court's practice, but would there be time set aside? There are several issues here I believe that may warrant some consideration. And I'm just curious as to the Court's --

THE COURT: Yeah, I will set aside to hear from counsel on the Rule $29. \,$

MR. LINSIN: All right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, as far as any submissions are concerned, are there going to be any on Rule 29?

MR. LINSIN: We have evaluated that, your Honor. At this point I don't believe so. We may have some case citations that we believe would be relevant. But, it's not our judgment at this point that it would be worth a full briefing. We will be happy, if it would be helpful to the Court, to provide the case citations prior to our discussion, if that might help focus attention. Or we will do whatever the Court -- you know, would be most helpful to the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, if you have -- if you have case citations, and you believe they are relevant, let me know.

MR. LINSIN: All right.

THE COURT: And I'll try to take a look at them. You know, if it -- well, you tell me if you feel it's important that I take a look at them, we'll try to do that.

MR. LINSIN: Could I ask one other question, your Honor, just for planning purposes. And that is we have, of course, are attempting to assess what our defense case will look like. We don't anticipate under any circumstances that it will be a lengthy presentation. And I'm just curious if the Court can give us any guidance as to when we might see a draft of the potential jury charge.

THE COURT: Okay. How about if I answer that one for you tomorrow morning?

MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor. That will be helpful. Thanks.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. PERSONIUS: Judge, you mentioned about a written submission on the Rule 29. Would it be helpful to you and to Andrew if — even if it's not a lengthy legal argument, if you had the argument? We can put it in writing for you if it would be

helpful.

THE COURT: I mean, if -- I'm going to leave it to you. I don't want to make that judgment. I'll look at it if I get it. You know, so -- you know, I don't expect that it has to be an opus of any kind. If you feel, as a guide, that could be helpful to me, you know, I think, what you want to argue --

MR. LINSIN: All right.

THE COURT: -- or will by the end of the government's case. If it's -- if it's formulated in a way where I can get some guidance from it, I'd be happy to review it. All right?

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, we will start with two stipulations in the morning absent any additional ones reached overnight. So we do have two executed though to go in.

THE COURT: All right. I anxiously await those stipulations.

MR. MANGO: I'm sure.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. I appreciate your cooperation. We'll see you tomorrow at 9:30.

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor.

```
Case 1:10-cr-00219-WMS-HKS Document 326 Filed 10/10/14 Page 211 of 212
                                                                 2476
 1
                  MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor.
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a Correct transcription of the proceedings Recorded by me in this matter. s/Michelle L. McLaughlin Michelle L. McLaughlin, RPR Official Reporter U.S.D.C., W.D.N.Y.